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## Definition

Let $G=(V, E, A)$ be a mixed complete graph where

- $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$,
- $E$ is the edge set and $A$ is the arc set.

The node $v_{1}$ is referred to as the root.

## Definition

Let $G=(V, E, A)$ be a mixed complete graph where

- $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$,
- $E$ is the edge set and $A$ is the arc set.

The node $v_{1}$ is referred to as the root.
There are :

- nonnegative ring cost $c_{i j}$ associated with each edge $v_{i} v_{j} \in E$,
- nonnegative assignment cost $d_{i j}$ associated with each arc

$$
\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in A .
$$

## Ring Star Problem (RSP)

Finding a simple cycle (ring) containing the root $v_{1}$ and an assignment of all other nodes (which do not belong to the ring) to some node on the cycle such that the sum of ring cost and assignment cost is minimized. The problem is $N P$-hard.
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A solution

## Variables and objective function

We define
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x_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if the edge } v_{i} v_{j} \text { belongs to the cycle } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
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## We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if the edge } v_{i} v_{j} \text { belongs to the cycle } \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& y_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } v_{i} \text { is assigned to } v_{j} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $y_{i i}=1$ if $v_{i}$ belongs to the cycle.
Objective function:

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E} c_{i j} x_{i j}+\sum_{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in A} d_{i j} y_{i j}
$$

## Applications

- Telecommunication: In Digital Data Service design where concentrators are installed on some user locations and interconnected on a ring (internet). Remaining user locations are assigned to those concentrators (intranet). The total cost of all connections must be minimized.


## Applications

- Telecommunication: In Digital Data Service design where concentrators are installed on some user locations and interconnected on a ring (internet). Remaining user locations are assigned to those concentrators (intranet). The total cost of all connections must be minimized.
- Location, transport: Facilities are installed on the nodes of the ring and the remaining nodes are assigned to those facilities. The supplying cost is the cost of the Hamiltonian cycles on node-facility and the serving cost is the assignment cost of remaining vertices. The sum of the two costs must be minimized.
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The cycle polytope here is the convex hull of the incidence vectors of all the cycles of $G$. Remark that the cycles considered in the problem is those containing $v_{1}$.
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- The cycle polytope is very complex. In our knowledge, complete characterization of its dominant, the cycle polyhedron which correspond to polynomial cases (when costs are nonnegative) is not known (Bauer 1997). Coefficients of facet-defining inequalities are exponential on the number of nodes (Nguyen et al. 2001).
- Facets of the cycle polytope $\Rightarrow$ facets of RSP?
- The same observations on the stable set polytope.


## Our approach on the variables $x$

The cycle in a solution of RSP must contain $v_{1}=s$. If we add an artificial node $v_{1}^{\prime}=t$ which is a clone of $v_{1}$, the cycle can be transformed to a st-path.

## Our approach on the variables $x$

The cycle in a solution of RSP must contain $v_{1}=s$. If we add an artificial node $v_{1}^{\prime}=t$ which is a clone of $v_{1}$, the cycle can be transformed to a st-path.
This can have advantages because the dominance of the st-paths

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(x+\mathbb{R}^{|E|} \mid \mathrm{X} \text { is incidence vector of a st-path }\right)
$$

is completely characterized by

$$
x(\delta(S)) \geq 1 S \subset V, s \in S \text { and } t \in V \backslash S
$$

These inequalities are called st-cut inequalities.
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The st-cut inequalities characterize the dominant points of $s t$-path, not only the $s t$-paths.

## Integer formulation for the variables $x$

The st-cut inequalities characterize the dominant points of $s t$-path, not only the $s t$-paths.


Thus we would obtain solutions with one st-path + disjoint cycles.

## Integer formulation for the variables $x$

The $s t$-cut inequalities characterize the dominant points of $s t$-path, not only the $s t$-paths.


Thus we would obtain solutions with one $s t$-path + disjoint cycles. We add the following constraints to cut off them :

$$
x(\delta(S)) \geq 2 y_{i i} \forall S \subset V \backslash\{s, t\} \text { and } \forall v_{i} \in S
$$

Let us call connexity inequalities these inequalities.
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In a solution of RSP, on the variables $y$ we can have following configuration:


An isolated vertex $v_{i}$ having $y_{i i}=1$. If we consider $y_{i i}$ as an arc from $v_{i}$ to some artificial root node $v_{0}$, a solution on variables $y$ will be a directed spanning tree with paths from root $v_{0}$ to every other node of length at most 2 (with inversion on the arc's orientation). These spanning trees are called hop-constrained spanning trees.
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## Integer formulation for the variables

We consider the hop-constrained spanning trees with $H=2$.
The Hop-constrained Minimum Spanning Tree Problem (HMST) with
$H=2$ is $N P$-hard as it is equivalent to the Simple Uncapaciated
Facility Location.
G. Dahl (1998) gave the following integer formulation for the problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i \in V \backslash\{j\}} y_{i j}=1, \quad j \in V \backslash\{0\} \\
y_{0 j} \geq y_{j k}, \text { for every arc }(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \\
y_{i j} \in\{0,1\}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to convert this formulation to an integer formulation for the
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## Integer formulation and dimension of $R$

We propose the following integer formulation of RSP:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{v_{j} \in V} y_{i j}=1 \text { for all } v_{i} \in V \backslash\{s, t\} \\
x\left(\delta\left(v_{i}\right)\right)=2 y_{i i} \text { for all } v_{i} \in V \\
x(\delta(S)) \geq 1 S \subset V, s \in S \text { and } t \in V \backslash S \\
x(\delta(S)) \geq 2 y_{i i} \forall S \subset V \backslash\{s, t\} \text { and } \forall v_{i} \in S \\
y_{s s}=1, y_{t t}=1, y_{s i}=y_{t i}=0 \text { for all } v_{i} \in V \backslash\{s, t\}
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The dimension of RSP is $|E|+|A|-(4 n-4)=|E|+|A|-4 n+4$.

## Facets for RSP : Blossom inequalities

A T-join with $T=\{s, t\}$ is composed by a st-path and eventually some additional cycles.


Thus a T-join dominates a st-path.
The convex hull of the T-joins is completely characterized by $0 \leq x_{i j} \leq 1$ and the blossom inequalities
$x(\delta(S))-x(F) \geq(1-|F|) \mid S \subseteq V, F \subseteq \delta(S)$ and $|S \cap T|+|F|$ is odd.
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A T-join with $T=\{s, t\}$ is composed by a st-path and eventually some additional cycles.


Thus a T-join dominates a st-path.
The convex hull of the T-joins is completely characterized by $0 \leq x_{i j} \leq 1$ and the blossom inequalities
$x(\delta(S))-x(F) \geq(1-|F|) \mid S \subseteq V, F \subseteq \delta(S)$ and $|S \cap T|+|F|$ is odd.
From the cycle polytope, Labbé et al. obtain a subset of blossom inequalities, the 2-matching inequalities which require $F$ to be a

## Facets for $s t-\mathrm{PP} \Rightarrow$ facets for RSP

Let $a^{T} x \geq b$ define a facet of $s t$-PP. The $|E|-2 s t$-paths affinely independent satisfying $a^{T} x=b$ transformed to solutions of RSP:


## Facets for $s t-\mathrm{PP} \Rightarrow$ facets for RSP

Let $a^{T} x \geq b$ define a facet of $s t$-PP. The $|E|-2 s t$-paths affinely independent satisfying $a^{T} x=b$ transformed to solutions of RSP:


## Facets for $s t-P P \Rightarrow$ facets for RSP

Let $a^{T} x \geq b$ define a facet of $s t$-PP. The $|E|-2$ st-paths affinely independent satisfying $a^{T} x=b$ transformed to solutions of RSP:


Each arc ( $v_{i}, v_{j}$ ) correspond to the following point:
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## Facets for $s t-P P \Rightarrow$ facets for RSP

Let $a^{T} x \geq b$ define a facet of $s t$-PP. The $|E|-2$ st-paths affinely independent satisfying $a^{T} x=b$ transformed to solutions of RSP:


Each arc $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ correspond to the following point:


From that, we obtain $|\bar{y}|=|A|-(n+2 n-4+n-2)=|A|-4 n+6$ points affinely independent. In total, we have $|E|+|A|-4 n+4$ points
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## Premilinary experiments

- We have tested our code on TSP instances with at most 100 nodes.
- In general, the code is from 5 until 10 times faster than the code of Labbé et al.
- There is one instance on that our code is slower!


## Conclusion and future works

- We have established the correspondance between facets of RSP and the ones of it's projections respectively on $x$-space and $y$-space.
- Our formulation allows to derive interesting facets for RSP included some well-studied inequalities: $s t$-cut, blossom.
- Better separate the blossom inequalities.
- Better initial and primal heuristics.
- New inequalities for the st-path polytopes and the HMST polytope.

