Two results on the digraph chromatic number
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Abstract

It is known (Bollobás [4]; Kostochka and Mazurova [13]) that there exist graphs of maximum degree $\Delta$ and of arbitrarily large girth whose chromatic number is at least $c\Delta/\log \Delta$. We show an analogous result for digraphs where the chromatic number of a digraph $D$ is defined as the minimum integer $k$ so that $V(D)$ can be partitioned into $k$ acyclic sets, and the girth is the length of the shortest cycle in the corresponding undirected graph. It is also shown, in the same vein as an old result of Erdős [6], that there are digraphs with arbitrarily large chromatic number where every large subset of vertices is 2-colorable.
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1 Digraph Colorings

Let $D$ be a (loopless) digraph. A vertex set $A \subset V(D)$ is called acyclic if the induced subdigraph $D[A]$ has no directed cycles. A $k$-coloring of $D$ is a partition of $V(D)$ into $k$ acyclic sets. The minimum integer $k$ for which there exists a $k$-coloring of $D$ is the chromatic number $\chi(D)$ of the digraph $D$. This definition of the chromatic number of a digraph was first treated
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by Neumann-Lara [17]. The same notion was independently introduced two decades later when considering the circular chromatic number of weighted (directed or undirected) graphs [15], see also [3].

This notion of colorings of digraphs turns out to be the natural way of extending the theory of undirected graph colorings since it provides extensions of most of the basic results from graph colorings [3, 8, 15, 16].

In this note we prove, using standard probabilistic approach, that two further analogues of graph coloring results carry over to digraphs. The first result provides evidence that the digraph chromatic number, like the graph chromatic number, is a global parameter that cannot be deduced from local considerations. The second result, see Theorem 3.1, shows that there are digraphs with large chromatic number \( k \) in which every set of at most \( c|V(D)| \) vertices is 2-colorable, where \( c > 0 \) is a constant that only depends on \( k \). The analogous result for digraphs was proved by Erdős [6] with its outcome being that all sets of at most \( cn \) are 3-colorable. Both the 3-colorability in Erdős’ result and 2-colorability in Theorem 3.1 are best possible.

Concerning the first result, it is well-known that there exist graphs with large girth and large chromatic number. Bollobás [4] and, independently, Kostochka and Mazurova [13] proved that there exist graphs of maximum degree at most \( \Delta \) and of arbitrarily large girth whose chromatic number is \( \Omega(\Delta/\log \Delta) \). Our Theorem 2.1 provides an extension to digraphs.

The bound of \( \Omega(\Delta/\log \Delta) \) from [4, 13] is essentially best possible: a result of Johansson [11] shows that if \( G \) is triangle-free, then the chromatic number is \( O(\Delta/\log \Delta) \). Similarly, Theorem 3.1 is also essentially best possible: it is easy to show that every tournament on \( n \) vertices has chromatic number \( \frac{n}{\log n} (1 + o(1)) \). This follows from the fact that every tournament on \( n \) vertices contains an acyclic set of at least \( \log_2 n \) vertices (see for example, [5, 21]).

In general, it may be true that the following analog of Johansson’s result holds for digon-free digraphs, as conjectured by McDiarmid and Mohar [14].

**Conjecture 1.1.** Every digraph \( D \) without digons and with maximum total degree \( \Delta \) has \( \chi(D) = O(\frac{\Delta}{\log \Delta}) \).

Theorem 2.1 shows that Conjecture 1.1, if true, is essentially best possible.
2 Chromatic number and girth

First, we need some basic definitions. Given a loopless digraph $D$, a cycle in $D$ is a cycle in the underlying undirected graph. The girth of $D$ is the length of a shortest cycle in $D$, and the digirth of $D$ is the length of a shortest directed cycle in $D$. The total degree of a vertex $v$ is the number of arcs incident to $v$. The maximum total degree of $D$, denoted by $\Delta(D)$, is the maximum of all total degrees vertices in $D$.

It is proved in [3] that there are digraphs of arbitrarily large digirth and dichromatic number. Our result is an analogue of the aforementioned result of Bollobás [4] and Kostochka and Mazurova [13]. Note that the result involves the girth and not the digirth.

Theorem 2.1. Let $g$ and $\Delta$ be positive integers. There exists a digraph $D$ of girth at least $g$, with $\Delta(D) \leq \Delta$, and $\chi(D) \geq a\Delta/\log \Delta$ for some absolute constant $a > 0$. For $\Delta$ sufficiently large we may take $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$.

Proof. Our proof is in the spirit of Bollobás [4]. We may assume that $\Delta$ is sufficiently large.

Let $D = D(n,p)$ be a random digraph of order $n$ defined as follows. For every $u, v \in V(D)$, we connect $uv$ with probability $2p$, independently. Now we randomly (with probability $1/2$) assign an orientation to every edge that is present. Observe that $D$ has no digons. We will use the value $p = \frac{\Delta}{4en}$, where $e$ is the base of the natural logarithm.

Claim 1. $D$ has no more than $\Delta^g$ cycles of length less than $g$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{\Delta}$.

Proof. Let $N_l$ be the number of cycles of length $l$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[N_l] \leq \binom{n}{l}l!(2p)^l \leq n^l(2p)^l \leq \left(\frac{\Delta}{4}ight)^l.$$

Therefore, the expected number of cycles of length less than $g$ is at most $\Delta^{g-1}$. So the probability that $D$ has more than $\Delta^g$ cycles of length less than $g$ is at most $1/\Delta$ by Markov’s inequality. 

Claim 2. There is a set $A$ of at most $n/1000$ vertices of $D$ such that $\Delta(D - A) \leq \Delta$ with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. As in [4], define excess degree of $D$ to be $ex(D) = \sum_{d_i > \Delta}(d_i - \Delta)$, where $d_i$ is the total degree of the $i^{th}$ vertex. Clearly, there is a set of at most $ex(D)$ arcs (or vertices) whose removal reduces the maximum total degree of
$D$ to $\Delta$. Let $X_d$ be the number of vertices of total degree $d$, $d = 0, 1, ..., n-1$. Then $ex(D) = \sum_{d=\Delta+1}^{n-1} (d-\Delta)X_d$.

Now, we estimate the expectation of $X_d$. By linearity of expectation, we have:

$$
E[X_d] \leq n \binom{n-1}{d} (2p)^d \leq n \left( \frac{e(n-1)}{d} \right)^d \left( \frac{\Delta}{2en} \right)^d \leq n \left( \frac{\Delta}{2d} \right)^d.
$$

Therefore, by linearity of expectation we have that

$$
E[ex(D)] \leq \sum_{d=\Delta+1}^{n-1} nd \left( \frac{\Delta}{2d} \right)^d \leq \frac{n\Delta}{2} \sum_{d=\Delta+1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\Delta}{2d} \right)^{d-1} \leq \frac{n\Delta}{2} \sum_{d=\Delta+1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{d-1} \leq \frac{n\Delta}{2} \cdot \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{\Delta}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = n \cdot \frac{\Delta}{2^{\Delta}} \leq \frac{n}{2000}.
$$

Now, by Markov’s inequality, $P[ex(D) > n/1000] < 1/2$.

Let $\alpha(D)$ be the size of a maximum acyclic set of vertices in $D$. The following result will be used in the proof of our next claim and also in Section 3.
Theorem 2.2 ([22]). Let $D \in D(n,p)$ and $w = np$. There is a sufficiently large absolute constant $W$ such that: If $p$ satisfies $w \geq W$, then, a.a.s.

$$\alpha(D) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\log q}\right)(\log w + 3e),$$

where $q = (1-p)^{-1}$.

Claim 3. Let $\alpha(D)$ be the size of a maximum acyclic set of vertices in $D$. Then $\alpha(D) \leq \frac{4en \log \Delta}{\Delta}$ with high probability.

Proof. Since $\Delta$ is sufficiently large, Theorem 2.2 applies and the result follows. \qed

Now, pick a digraph $D$ that satisfies claims 1, 2, and 3. After removing at most $n/1000 + \Delta^9 \leq n/100$ vertices, the resulting digraph $D^*$ has maximum degree at most $\Delta$ and girth at least $g$. Clearly, $\alpha(D^*) \leq \alpha(D)$. Therefore, $\chi(D^*) \geq \frac{n(1-1/100)}{4en \log \Delta} \geq \frac{\Delta}{6e \log \Delta}$. \qed

3 Another result of same nature

A result of Erdős [6] states that there exist graphs of large chromatic number where the induced subgraph any constant fraction number of the vertices is 3-colorable. In particular, it is proved that for every $k$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $n$ sufficiently large there exists a graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\chi(G) > k$ and yet $\chi(G[S]) \leq 3$ for every $S \subset V(G)$ with $|S| \leq \epsilon n$.

The 3-colorability in the aforementioned theorem cannot be improved. A result of Kierstead, Szemeredi and Trotter [12] (with later improvements by Nelli [18] and Jiang [10]) shows that every 4-chromatic graph of order $n$ contains an odd cycle of length at most $8\sqrt{n}$.

We prove the following analog for digraphs. Our proof follows the proof of the result of Erdős found in [1].

Theorem 3.1. For every $k$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every sufficiently large integer $n$ there exists a digraph $D$ of order $n$ with $\chi(D) > k$ and yet $\chi(D[S]) \leq 2$ for every $S \subset V(D)$ with $|S| \leq \epsilon n$.

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that $\log k \geq 3$ and $k \geq \sqrt{W}$, where $W$ is the constant in Theorem 2.2. Let us consider the random digraph $D = D(n,p)$ with $p = \frac{k^2}{n}$ and let $0 < \epsilon < k^{-5}$. 
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We first show that $\chi(D) > k$ with high probability. Since $k$ is sufficiently large, Theorem 2.2 implies that $\alpha(D) \leq 6n \log k/k^2$ with high probability. Therefore, almost surely $\chi(D) \geq \frac{1}{6} k^2/\log k > k$.

Now, we show that with high probability every set of at most $\epsilon n$ vertices can be colored with at most two colors. Suppose there exists a set $S$ with $|S| \leq \epsilon n$ such that $\chi(D[S]) \geq 3$. Let $T \subset S$ be a 3-critical subset, i.e. for every $v \in T$, $\chi(D[T] - v) \leq 2$. Let $t = |T|$. For every $v \in T$, $\min\{d^+(D[T])[v], d^-(D[T])[v]\} \geq 2$ for otherwise a 2-coloring of $D[T] - v$ could be extended to $D[T]$. Therefore, every vertex in $T$ has total degree of at least $4$ in $D[T]$ which implies that $D[T]$ has at least $2t$ arcs. The probability of this is at most

$$
\sum_{3 \leq t \leq \epsilon n} \left(\frac{n}{t}\right) \left(\frac{2^{(t)}}{2t}\right) \left(\frac{k^2}{n}\right)^{2t} \leq \sum_{3 \leq t \leq \epsilon n} \left(\frac{en}{t}\right)^t \left(\frac{et(t - 1)}{2t}\right)^{2t} \left(\frac{k^2}{n}\right)^{2t}
\leq \sum_{3 \leq t \leq \epsilon n} \left(\frac{e^{3tk^4}}{4n}\right)^t 
\leq e \epsilon n \max\left\{3 \leq t \leq \epsilon n\right\} \left(\frac{7tk^4}{n}\right)^t
(1)
$$

If $3 \leq t \leq \log^2 n$, then $\left(\frac{7tk^4}{n}\right)^t \leq \left(\frac{7 \log^2 nk^4}{n}\right)^t \leq \left(\frac{7 \log^2 nk^4}{n}\right)^3 = o(\frac{1}{n})$.

Similarly, if $\log^2 n \leq t \leq \epsilon n$, then $\left(\frac{7tk^4}{n}\right)^t \leq (7\epsilon k^4)^t \leq (\frac{7}{\epsilon})^t \leq (\frac{7}{\epsilon})^{\log^2 n} = o(\frac{1}{n})$.

These estimates and (1) imply that the probability that $\chi(D[S]) \leq 2$ is $o(1)$. This completes the proof.

We show that 2-colorability in the previous theorem cannot be decreased to 1 due to the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** If $D$ is a digraph with $\chi(D) \geq 3$ and of order $n$, then it contains a directed cycle of length $o(n)$.

**Proof.** In the proof we shall use the following digraph analogue of Erdős-Posa Theorem. Reed et al. [20] proved that for every integer $t$, there exists an integer $f(t)$ so that every digraph either has $t$ vertex-disjoint directed cycles or a set of at most $f(t)$ vertices whose removal makes the digraph acyclic.

Define $h(n) = \max\{t : tf(t) \leq n\}$. It is clear that $h(n) = \omega(1)$. Let $c$ be the length of a shortest directed cycle in $D$.
If $D$ has $h(n)$ vertex-disjoint directed cycles, then $ch(n) \leq n$ which implies that $c \leq \frac{n}{h(n)} = o(n)$. Otherwise, suppose that $h(n) = t$. There exists a set $S$ of vertices with $|S| = f(t)$ such that $V(D)\setminus S$ is acyclic. Since $\chi(D) \geq 3$, we have that $\chi(D[S]) \geq 2$, which implies that $S$ contains a directed cycle of length at most $|S| = f(t) \leq \frac{n}{t} = \frac{n}{h(n)} = o(n)$.
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