Course and Instructor Evaluation
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GENERAL

Other reasons
5. I looked for但 an easy credit
4. It was inadequate course available
3. I was not interested in the course
2. It was compulsory
1. I

Why did you take this course?

5. Below 2.0
4. 2.0 to 2.49
3. 2.5 to 2.99
2. 3.0 to 3.49
1. 3.5 or over

Grade point average?

What is your cumulative

Semester: 12-1
Course: MACM 201
Instructor: HARTYANAYAN A

BACKGROUND

Mean Response Rejected
Valid Percent

Frequency Distribution

Weight: 4 3 2 1 0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching Ability</th>
<th>22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean responses: 3.2, 2.1, 1.0

Course and Instructor Evaluation

Semester: 12-1
Course: MATH 201
Instructor: Math Instructor

Attitude towards students was 79% excellent, 19% average.
Overall, the instructor was very responsive.
Provides clear goals or assignments.
Access to extra help?
Was the instructor reasonably available?
Questions during class were answered.
On my work was feedback?
The instructor's feedback was course comments or grades.
The course material was well-organized.
The course material was related to the exam questions.
The course material was related to the exam questions.
Organization and presentation was excellent.
The instructor's ability was effective.
The instructor's ability was effective.
The course was informative.
The course was informative.
The course was informative.
The course was informative.
The course was informative.
The course was informative.

Frequency Distribution