Cooperative Games Lecture 2: The core

Stéphane Airiau

ILLC - University of Amsterdam



- We all want to work together and get *v*(*N*), but we all have different views about how to share the fruits of our work. We can use the values of other coalitions as arguments in favor of a distribution.
- A condition for a coalition to form:

 all agents prefer to be in it.
 i.e., none of the participants wishes she were in a different coalition or by herself Stability
- Stability is a necessary but not sufficient condition, (e.g., there may be multiple stable coalitions).
- The **core** is a stability concepts for which no agents prefer to deviate to form a different coalition.
- For simplicity, we will only consider the problem of the stability of the grand coalition:
- \Rightarrow Is the grand coalition stable \Leftrightarrow Is the core non-empty

- Definition of the core
- Some geometrical representation of the core for games with up to three agents
- Convex games and the core

Definition (valuation or characteristic function)

A valuation function v associates a real number $v(\mathcal{C})$ to any subset \mathcal{C} , i.e., $v : 2^N \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition (TU game)

A TU game is a pair (N, v) where N is a set of agents and where v is a valuation function.

Definition (Imputation)

An **imputation** is a payoff distribution *x* that is efficient and individually rational, i.e.:

•
$$\sum_{i \in N} x_i = v(N)$$
 (efficiency)

• for all $i \in N$, $x_i \ge v(\{i\})$ (individual rationality)

Definition (Group rationality) $\forall \mathbb{C} \subseteq N, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{C}} x(i) \ge v(\mathbb{C}).$

The core relates to the stability of the grand coalition: No group of agents has any incentive to change coalition.

Definition (core of a game (N, v))

Let (N, v) be a TU game, and assume we form the grand coalition *N*. The **core** of (N, v) is the set:

 $Core(N, v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ is a group rational imputation}\}$

Equivalently,

 $Core(N, v) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x(N) \leqslant v(N) \land x(\mathcal{C}) \ge v(\mathcal{C}) \ \forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq N \}.$

 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20



 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20



 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20



 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20



 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20



 $N = \{1, 2\}$ v({1}) = 5, v({2}) = 5 v({1,2}) = 20

core(*N*, *v*) = {(x_1, x_2) $\in \mathbb{R}^2 | x_1 \ge 5, x_2 \ge 5, x_1 + x_2 = 20$ }



The core may not be fair: the core only considers stability.

three-player majority game

$$N = \{1, 2, 3\}$$

 $v(\{i\}) = 0$
 $v(\{C\}) = \alpha \text{ for } |C| = 2$
 $v(N) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Core(N, v) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} &\forall i \in N, \, x_i \ge 0 \\ &\forall (i, j) \in N^2 \, i \ne j, \, x_i + x_j \ge \alpha \\ &\sum_{i \in N} x_i = 1 \end{cases} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} &\forall i \in N \, \, 0 \le x_i \le 1 - \alpha \quad (1) \\ &\sum_{i \in N} x_i = 1 \quad (2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Core(*N*, *v*) is nonempty iff $\alpha \leq \frac{2}{3}$ (by summing (1) for all $i \in N$ and using (2))

what happens when $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$ and the core is empty?

$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$

J is a triangle with vertices: (7,0,1), (1,6,1), (1,0,7). On the plane: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 8$

$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$

J is a triangle with vertices: (7,0,1), (1,6,1), (1,0,7). On the plane: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 8$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$





$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\Im = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1 \right\}$

J is a triangle with vertices: (7,0,1), (1,6,1), (1,0,7). On the plane: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 8$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\{0\}) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$

J is a triangle with vertices: (7,0,1), (1,6,1), (1,0,7). On the plane: $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 8$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\emptyset) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\{0\}) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$



$$v(\{1\}) = 1 \quad v(\{1,2\}) = 4$$

$$v(\{0\}) = 0 \quad v(\{2\}) = 0 \quad v(\{1,3\}) = 3 \quad v(\{1,2,3\}) = 8$$

$$v(\{3\}) = 1 \quad v(\{2,3\}) = 5$$

set of imputations $\mathcal{I} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 8, x_1 \ge 1, x_2 \ge 0, x_3 \ge 1\right\}$



- The core may not always be non-empty
- When the core is not empty, it may not be 'fair'
- It may not be easy to compute
- \Rightarrow Are there classes of games that have a non-empty core?
- ☞ Is it possible to characterize the games with non-empty core?

Definition (Convex games)

A game (N, v) is **convex** iff $\forall C \subseteq T$ and $i \notin T$, $v(C \cup \{i\}) - v(C) \leq v(T \cup \{i\}) - v(T)$.

TU-game is convex if the marginal contribution of each player increases with the size of the coalition she joins.

Bankruptcy game (E,c) $E \ge 0$ is the estate, there are *n* claimants and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ is the claim vector (c_i is the claim of the *i*th claimant). $v(\mathbb{C}) = \max\{0, E - \sum_{i \in N \setminus \mathbb{C}} c_i\}$

Theorem

Each bankruptcy game is convex

Theorem

A convex game has a non-empty core

- *N* be the set of customers
- 0 be the supplier
- $N_* = N \cup \{0\}$
- $c_{i,j}$ is the cost of connecting *i* and *j* by the edge e_{ij} for $(i,j) \in N_*^2$, $i \neq j$
- for a coalition C, T_C = (C, E_C) is the minimum cost spanning tree spanning over the set of edges C∪{0}.
- the cost function is $c(S) = \sum_{(i,j) \in E_{\mathcal{C}}} c_{ij}$
- A **minimum cost spanning tree game** is the associated cost game.

Theorem

Every minimum cost spanning tree game has a nonempty core.

The Bondareva Shapley theorem: a characterization of games with non-empty core.

The theorem was proven independently by O. Bondareva (1963) and L. Shapley (1967).

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq N$. The **characteristic vector** $\chi_{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} is the member of \mathbb{R}^N defined by $\chi_{\mathcal{C}}^i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } i \in \mathcal{C} \\ 0 \text{ if } i \in N \setminus \mathcal{C} \end{cases}$

A **map** is a function $2^N \setminus \emptyset \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that gives a positive weight to each coalition.

Definition (Balanced map)

A function $\lambda : 2^N \setminus \emptyset \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a **balanced map** iff $\sum_{\mathfrak{C} \subseteq N} \lambda(\mathfrak{C}) \chi_{\mathfrak{C}} = \chi_N$

A map is balanced when the amount received over all the coalitions containing an agent *i* sums up to 1.

Example: n = 3, $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |\mathcal{C}| = 2\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $\lambda(\{1,2\})\chi_{\{1,2\}} \mid \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0 \rangle$ Each of the constant of the c

Definition (Balanced game)

A game is **balanced** iff for each balanced map λ we have $\sum_{\mathcal{C} \subseteq N, \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset} \lambda(\mathcal{C}) v(\mathcal{C}) \leq v(N)$.

Theorem (Bondareva Shapley)

A TU game has a non-empty core iff it is balanced.

- We introduced the core: a stability solution concept.
- We looked at some examples and geometrical representation
- We saw that the core can be empty.
- We proved that convex games have a non-empty core.
- We proved that Minimum Cost Spanning Tree game have a non-empty core
- We started to look at a characterization of the Bondareva-Shapley theorem

- Characterization of games with non-empty core (Bondareva Shapley theorem), informal introduction to linear programming.
- Application of Bondareva-Shapley to market games.
- Other games with non-empty core.
- Computational complexity of the core.