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Deep Learning for the game of Go recently had a tremendous success with the victory of AlphaGo against Lee Sedol in March 2016. We propose to use residual networks so as to improve the training of a policy network for computer Go. Training is faster than with usual convolutional networks and residual networks achieve high accuracy on our test set and a 4 dan level.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Deep Learning for the game of Go with convolutional neural networks has been addressed by Clark and Storkey [1]. It has been further improved by using larger networks [2]. Learning multiple moves in a row instead of only one move has also been shown to improve the playing strength of Go playing programs that choose moves according to a deep neural network [3]. Then came AlphaGo [4] that combines Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) with a policy and a value network.

Deep neural networks are good at recognizing shapes in the game of Go. However they have weaknesses at tactical search such as ladders and life and death. The way it is handled in AlphaGo is to give as input to the network the results of ladders. Reading ladders is not enough to understand more complex problems that require search. So AlphaGo combines deep networks with MCTS [5]. It learns a value network from self-played games in order to evaluate positions. When playing, it combines the evaluation of a leaf of the Monte Carlo tree by the value network with the result of the playout that starts at this leaf. The value network is an important innovation due to AlphaGo. It made a large improvement in the level of play.

One of the problems about training a value network is that millions of games have to be played by the policy network against different versions of itself in order to create the data used to train the value network. It is therefore interesting to find a way to learn with less training examples so as to reduce the bottleneck of playing millions of games. Learning with less examples also often implies that in the end the accuracy of the network on the training set is greater.

Residual Networks improve the training of very deep networks [6]. These networks can gain accuracy from considerably increased depth. On the ImageNet dataset a 152 layers networks achieves 3.57% error. It won the 1st place on the ILSVRC 2015 classification task. The principle of residual nets is to add the input of the layer to the output of each layer. With this simple modification training is faster and enables deeper networks. We propose to use residual networks for computer Go in order to train networks faster, to increase accuracy and also to enable training deeper networks.

The second section details the use of residual networks for computer Go, the third section gives experimental results, and the last section concludes.

II. RESIDUAL LAYERS

The usual layer used in computer Go program such as AlphaGo [2] and DarkForest [3] is composed of a convolutional layer and of a ReLU layer as shown in figure 1.

The residual layer used for image classification adds the input of the layer to the output of the layer. It uses two convolutional layers before the addition. The ReLU layers are put after the first convolutional layer and after the addition. The residual layer is shown in figure 2. We will experiment with this kind of residual layers for our Go networks.

The input layer of our Go networks is also residual. It uses a 5 × 5 convolutional layer in parallel to a 1 × 1 convolutional layer and adds the outputs of the two layers before the ReLU layer. All the hidden layers use 256 feature planes and 3 × 3 filters.

We define the number of layers of a network as the number of convolutional layers. So a 28 layers network has 28 convolutional layers corresponding to 14 layers depicted in figure 2.

The output layer of the network is a 3 × 3 convolutional layer with one output plane followed by a SoftMax. All the hidden layers use 256 feature planes and 3 × 3 filters.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section we will explain how we conducted the experiments evaluating deep residual networks. We first present the
data that was used for training and testing. We then describe the input and output planes of the networks and the training and testing phases with results given as percentages on the test set. We finish the section describing our Go playing program Golois. All experiments were done using Torch [7].

A. The Data

Our training set consists of games played between 2000 and 2014 on the Kiseido Go Server (KGS) by players being 6 dan or more. We exclude handicap games.

Each position can be rotated and mirrored to its eight possible symmetric positions. It results in approximately 160 000 000 positions in the training set.

The test set contains the games played in 2015. The positions in the test set are not mirrored and there are 500 000 different positions in the test set.

The dataset is similar to the AlphaGo and the DarkForest datasets, all the games we have used for training are part of these two other datasets. AlphaGo also uses games by weaker players in its dataset [2], instead we only use games by 6 dan or more, it probably makes the dataset more difficult and more meaningful. The AlphaGo dataset is not available, also it would help to have the 30 000 000 games played by AlphaGo against itself so as to train a value network but this dataset is not available either.

We also used the GoGoD dataset. It is composed of many professional games played until today. We used the games from 1900 to 2014 for the training set and the games from 2015 and 2016 as the test set. In our experiments we use the first 500 000 positions of the test set to evaluate the error and the accuracy of the networks.

B. Input and Output Planes

The networks use 45 $19 \times 19$ input planes: three planes for the colors of the intersections, one plane filled with ones, one plane filled with zeros, one plane for the third line, one plane filled with one if there is a ko, one plane with a one for the ko move, ten planes for the liberties of the friend and of the enemy colors (1, 2, 3, 4, $\geq 5$ liberties), fourteen planes for the liberties of the friend and of the enemy colors if a move of the color is played on the intersection (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, $\geq 6$ liberties), one plane to tell if a friend move on the intersection is captured in a ladder, one plane to tell if a string can be captured in a ladder, one plane to tell if a string is captured in a ladder, one plane to tell if an opponent move is captured in a ladder, one plane to tell if a friend move captures in a ladder, one plane to tell if friend move escapes a ladder, one plane to tell if a friend move threatens a ladder, one plane to tell if an opponent move threatens a ladder, and five planes for each of the last five moves.

The output of a network is a $19 \times 19$ plane and the target is also a $19 \times 19$ plane with a one for the move played and zeros elsewhere.

C. Training

In order to train the network we build minibatches of size 50 composed of 50 states chosen randomly in the training set,
each state is randomly mirrored to one of its eight symmetric states. The accuracy and the error on the test set are computed every 5 000 000 training examples. We define an epoch as 5 000 000 training examples.

The updating of the learning rate is performed using algorithm 1. A step corresponds to 5 000 training examples. Every 1000 steps the algorithm computes the average error over the last 1000 steps and the average error over the step minus 2000 to the step minus 1000. If the average error increases then the learning rate is divided by 2. The initial learning rate is set to 0.2. The algorithm stays at least 4000 steps with the same learning rate before dividing it by 2.

Algorithm 1 The algorithm used to update the training rate.

if nbExamples % 5000 == 0 then
    step ← step + 1
    if step % 1000 == 0 then
        error1000 ← ∑_{last 1000 steps} (errorStep)
        error2000 ← ∑_{step - 2000 to step - 1000} (errorStep)
        if step - lastDecrease > 3000 then
            if error2000 < error1000 then
                rate ← rate / 2
                lastDecrease ← step
            end if
        end if
    end if
end if

The evolution of the accuracy on the KGS test set is given in figure 4. The horizontal axis gives the number of epochs. The 20 layers residual network scores 58.2456%. We also give the evolution of the accuracy of a 13 layers vanilla network of the same type as the one described in the AlphaGo papers. We can see that the accuracy of the smaller non residual network is consistently smaller.

In order to further enhance accuracy we used bagging. The input board is mirrored to its 8 possible symmetries and the same 20 layers network is run on all 8 boards. The outputs of the 8 networks are then mirrored back and summed. Bagging improves the accuracy up to 58.5450%. The use of symmetries is similar to AlphaGo.

In comparison, AlphaGo policy network with bagging reaches 57.0% on a similar KGS test set and Darkforest policy network reaches 57.3%.

The evolution of the mean square error on the test set is given in figure 5. We can observe that the error of the 20 layers residual network is consistently smaller than the one of the non residual 13 layers network.

Figures 6 and 7 give the accuracy and the error on the GoGoD test set for 20 residual layers and 28 residual layers networks. Going from 20 layers to 28 layers is a small improvement.

In order to test the 28 layers residual networks we organized a round robin tournament between 10 of the last networks, going from epoch 70 to epoch 79 of the training. The results are given in table I. The network that has the best raw accuracy on the GoGoD test set is the network of epoch 71 with 54.6042% accuracy. When improved with bagging it reaches
54.9954% on the GoGoD test set. However the network that has the best score is the network of epoch 78 which has a score greater than the other networks of 0.544444 which is better than the network of epoch 71 that has a score of 0.522222. The epoch 78 network has a 55.0306% accuracy when combined with bagging.

D. Golois

We made the 20 layers residual network with bagging and a 58.5450% accuracy play games on the KGS internet Go server. The program name is Golois4 and it is quite popular, playing 24 hours a day against various opponents. It is ranked 3 dan.

Playing on KGS is not easy for bots. Some players take advantage of the bot behaviors such as being deterministic, so we randomized play choosing randomly among moves that are evaluated greater than the evaluation of the best move when augmented by 0.05. Golois4 plays its moves almost instantly thanks to its use of a K40 GPU. It gives five periods of 15 seconds per move to its human opponents. It is ranked 3 dan.

For future work we intend to use residual networks to train a value network. We could also perform experiments as in [8].
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