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- That is, $v_i$ is colored with $c(v_i)$ the first color that is not in its neighborhood (first-fit).
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Grundy Colorings – Definition(s)

The worst way of reasonably coloring a graph.

- Order the vertices $v_1, v_2 \ldots v_n$ to maximize the number of colors used by the greedy coloring: the Grundy Number (GN).
- That is, $v_i$ is colored with $c(v_i)$ the first color that is not in its neighborhood (first-fit).
- **Connected version**: $\forall i$, $G[v_1 \cup \ldots \cup v_i]$ is connected.
- **Weak version**: $v_i$ can be colored with any color in $\{1, \ldots, c(v_i)\}$. 
Algorithmic motivations

- $GN(G)$ upper bounds the number of colors used by any greedy heuristic for $\text{Min Coloring}$.
- $GN(G) \leq C \cdot \chi(G)$ on some classes of graphs gives a $C$-approximation for $\text{Min Coloring}$.
- See Sampaio’s PhD thesis for further motivations.
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(easy)

$k$ (Clique of size $k$).
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How many vertices (at most) did we need to achieve color $k$?
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How many vertices (at most) did we need to achieve color $k$?

\[ |T_k| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} |T_i|, \quad |T_1| = 1. \]

So \[ |T_k| = 2^{k-1}. \]
(minimal) Witnesses

How many vertices (at most) did we need to achieve color \( k \)?

Binomial tree \( T_4 \).
(minimal) Witnesses

How many vertices (at most) did we need to achieve color $k$?

Binomial tree $T_4$. 

$|T_k| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k - 1} |T_i|$, $|T_1| = 1$. 

So $|T_k| = 2^{k-1}$.
(minimal) Witnesses – Consequences

Algorithm:
  - For every subset of $2^{k-1}$ vertices, check if there is a witness.

Theorem (Zaker ’05)

The Grundy number can be computed in $O(f(k)n^{2k-1})$.

XP algorithm: $O(f(k)n^{g(k)})$: polynomial for fixed values of $k$. 
(minimal) Witnesses – Consequences

Algorithm:
  ▶ For every subset of $2^{k-1}$ vertices, check if there is a witness.

Theorem (Zaker ’05)

*The Grundy number can be computed in $O(f(k)n^{2k-1})$.*

XP algorithm: $O(f(k)n^{g(k)})$ : polynomial for fixed values of $k$.
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Connected Grundy Coloring

is \( \text{NP-complete} \) even for \( k = 7 \).
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A Connected Grundy number $= 3$ but unbounded witness.

Can't do the previous trick!

Theorem

Connected Grundy Coloring is $\mathsf{NP}$-complete even for $k = 7$.
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Connected Grundy number = 3 but unbounded witness.
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Connected Grundy Coloring is \(\text{NP-complete}\) even for \(k = 7\).
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\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Connected Grundy number} = 3 \text{ but unbounded witness.}
\end{array}
\]

Theorem

**Connected Grundy Coloring** is \(\text{NP}\)-complete even for \(k = 7\).
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Connected Grundy number $= 3$ but unbounded witness. Can’t do the previous trick!

**Theorem**

**Connected Grundy Coloring** is NP-complete
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**Theorem**

**Connected Grundy Coloring** is NP-complete even for $k = 7$. 
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Try all possible ordering of the vertices and check if at least $k$ colors are used by the greedy coloring: $\Theta(n!)$-time.

We can have a $O(c^n)$ algorithm.
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Any color class is an independent dominating set in the graph induced by the next classes.
Solving Grundy Coloring

In a witness:

- Any color class is an independent dominating set in the graph induced by the next classes.
- \( GN(S) = \max \{ GN(S \setminus X), X \text{ ind. dom. set of } G[S] \} + 1. \)
Solving Grundy Coloring

- A minimal independent dominating set is a maximal independent set.

- One can enumerate all maximal independent sets in $O(1.45^n)$ time.
  - Filling a cell in the table takes $O(1.45^i)$ time for a subset of size $i$. 

Theorem

One can solve Grundy coloring in $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^{1.45^i} = \left(1 + 1.45\right)^n$.

A cannot replace the $n$ by the treewidth (even feedback vertex set).

Theorem

Under the ETH, Grundy Coloring cannot be solved in $O^{*}(c^{\text{tw}})$ for any constant $c$ (even $O^{*}(2^{o(\text{tw}\log\text{tw})})$).
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Solving Grundy Coloring

- A minimal independent dominating set is a maximal independent set.
- One can enumerate all maximal independent sets in $O(1.45^n)$ time.
  - Filling a cell in the table takes $O(1.45^i)$ time for a subset of size $i$.

**Theorem**

One can solve Grundy coloring in $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} 1.45^i = (1 + 1.45)^n$.

Cannot replace the $n$ by the treewidth (even feedback vertex set).

**Theorem**

Under the ETH, Grundy Coloring cannot be solved in $O^*(c^{tw})$ for any constant $c$ (even $O^*(2^{o(tw \log tw)})$).
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Weak Grundy number = 3, (connected) Grundy number = 2.
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Color Coding

- Add colors between 1 and \( k \) uniformly at random to the instance.
- The probability that a good solution was well colored is a function of \( k \).
- Solving the instance is easier with this extra information.
- Do a function of \( k \) tries to have a small probability of failure.
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- Idea:
  - A witness is of size at most $2^{k-1}$.
  - This witness is well colored with probability is $\frac{1}{k^{2k-1}}$. 
Theorem

**Weak Grundy Coloring is in FPT.**

FPT algorithm: $O(f(k)n^c)$.

- **Idea:**
  - A witness is of size at most $2^{k-1}$.
  - This witness is well colored with probability is $\frac{1}{k^{2^k-1}}$.
  - After $\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) k^{2^{k-1}}$ tries, the probability of success is at least $1 - \varepsilon$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. 
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Open Problems

- Is **Grundy Coloring** solvable in $O(2^n)$?
- Is **Grundy Coloring** solvable in $O(f(tw)n^c)$?
- Is **Grundy Coloring** solvable in $O(f(k)n^c)$? Even for bipartite graph?
  - True for chordal, claw-free and bounded degree graphs or graph excluding a fixed graph as a minor.
  - ETH fails if **Grundy Coloring** is solvable in $O^*(2^{2^o(k)}2^o(n+m))$. 
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