

MATHEMATICS OF DATA SCIENCE

October 31, 2023

- Today: Convex optimization (Pt 2/3)
 - Tutorials on this topic start Thursday November 2
- ⚠ Check your schedule for updates!

SOLUTIONS OF (CONVEX) OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

① Existence principles

Q: Given an optimization problem, when are we guaranteed that there exists a solution?

↳ For this part, we consider a problem of the form

$$(*) \quad \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \in X$$

$$X = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \leq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \leq 0, h_1(x) = 0, \dots, h_l(x) = 0 \}$$

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i=1..m, \quad h_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i=1..l \quad (\text{extends to values in } \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})$$

Theorem (Weierstrass' theorem)

If f is continuous and X is a nonempty compact set, then the problem (*) has at least one solution.

- A compact set is bounded by definition ($\exists M > 0, \forall x \in X, \|x\| \leq M$)
- The theorem does not cover unbounded sets like \mathbb{R}^n , hence it does not cover unconstrained problems (among others!)
- Results for unbounded X require more assumptions on the objective function.

Def: A function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is coercive on an unbounded nonempty set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ if $\lim_{\substack{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in X}} \phi(x) = +\infty$

Theorem: Consider problem (*) and suppose that

- i) f is continuous and coercive
- ii) X is closed, nonempty (typically unbounded)

Then, the problem has at least one solution

Important cases: $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, X linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n
 X affine set in \mathbb{R}^n
 X cone in \mathbb{R}^n (including $0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$)

Special case: Convex optimization

Th 1 Suppose that

- f is convex on X
- X is a nonempty closed convex set in \mathbb{R}^n

Then the set of solutions to (*)

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{f(x) \text{ s.t. } x \in X\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

is either empty or it is a nonempty convex set.

If in addition f is strictly convex, then (*) has at most one solution.

If f is strongly convex, then (*) has exactly one solution.

Recall: f convex $\forall (x,y) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^2, \forall \alpha \in [0,1]$
 $f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)$

f strictly convex $\alpha \in (0,1) \Rightarrow f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) < \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)$

Ex) $x \mapsto e^{-x}$ in dimension 1.
has no minimum.

f strongly convex $\exists \mu > 0, \forall (x,y) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^2, \forall \alpha \in [0,1],$
 $f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y) - \frac{\mu \alpha(1-\alpha)}{2} \|y-x\|^2$
 $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x\|^2$ is convex

\hookrightarrow For convex problems with a differentiable objective function, there exist conditions that one can check to verify that a point is a solution of the problem

\Rightarrow Different from the definition of a solution

$$\bar{x} \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ f(x) \mid x \in X \} \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} \in X \text{ and } f(\bar{x}) \leq f(x) \forall x \in X$$

Theorem: Consider minimize $f(x)$ s.t. $x \in X$
 $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

where

- f is convex and differentiable on an open set containing X
- X is a nonempty convex set in \mathbb{R}^n

Then $\bar{x} \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ f(x) \mid x \in X \}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bar{x} \in X \text{ and } \nabla f(\bar{x})^\top (z - \bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in X$$

Proof: Comes from the characterization of convexity for differentiable functions

f convex + differentiable on X

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall (z, x) \in (X)^2, f(z) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (z-x)$$

Special cases:

• X subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\bar{x} \in X \text{ and } \nabla f(\bar{x})^T y = 0 \quad \forall y \in X$$

• X affine set in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\bar{x} \in X \text{ and } \nabla f(\bar{x})^T (y - \bar{x}) = 0 \quad \forall y \in X$$

• X convex cone that contains 0

$$\bar{x} \in X \text{ and } \nabla f(\bar{x})^T y \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in X$$

(If \bar{x} is not a minimum but $\bar{x} \in X$

$$\nabla f(\bar{x})^T (z - \bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in X$$

Then $\exists \bar{z} \in X$ such that $f(\bar{x}) > f(\bar{z})$

Using convexity

$$f(\bar{z}) \geq f(\bar{x}) + \underbrace{\nabla f(\bar{x})^T (z - \bar{x})}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\geq f(\bar{x})$$

X subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\forall y \in X, \quad y + \bar{x} \in X \text{ and } -y + \bar{x} \in X$$

$$\nabla f(\bar{x})^T (z - \bar{x}) \quad \forall z \in X \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla f(\bar{x})^T (y + \bar{x} - \bar{x}) \geq 0 \\ \nabla f(\bar{x})^T (-y + \bar{x} - \bar{x}) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f(\bar{x})^T y \geq 0 \text{ and } -\nabla f(\bar{x})^T y \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(\bar{x})^T y = 0$$

② Duality

↳ So far we have considered a feasible set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

↳ But in practice, the feasible set is not known explicitly and we only have access to the constraints defining the feasible set

$$(P) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n & \text{s.t.} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} g_i(x) \leq 0 & i=1 \dots m \\ h_i(x) = 0 & i=1 \dots l \end{array}$$

Q] Without using the properties of the feasible set, how do we know that a solution exists and how do we characterize those solutions?

⇒ Our approach: Lagrangian duality

Def. Consider problem (P) with $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$
 $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$ $i=1 \dots m$
 $h_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$ $i=1 \dots l$

and let $D = \text{dom}(f) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{dom}(g_i) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^l \text{dom}(h_i)$

The Lagrangian function of (P) (aka the Lagrangian of (P))

is $\mathcal{L}: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$

$$(x, \lambda, \mu) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu) = \underbrace{f(x)}_{\text{objective}} + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \underbrace{g_i(x)}_{\text{constraint functions}} + \sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i \underbrace{h_i(x)}_{\text{constraint functions}}$$

\mathcal{L} is defined by a linear combination of the objective and the constraint functions