

# CAHIER DU LAMSADE

Laboratoire d'Analyse et Modélisation de Systèmes pour l'Aide à la Décision  
(Université Paris-Dauphine)  
Unité de Recherche Associée au CNRS UMR 7024

## LOWER BOUNDS ON THE APPROXIMATION RATIOS OF LEADING HEURISTICS FOR THE SINGLE MACHINE TOTAL TARDINESS PROBLEM

CAHIER N° 193  
avril 2002

Federico DELLA CROCE <sup>1</sup>  
Andrea GROSSO <sup>2</sup>  
Vangelis Th. PASCHOS <sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> D.A.I., Politecnico di Torino, Italy ([dellacroce@polito.it](mailto:dellacroce@polito.it)).

<sup>2</sup> D.A.I., Politecnico di Torino, Italy ([grosso@cimserver.polito.it](mailto:grosso@cimserver.polito.it)).

<sup>3</sup> LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, France ([paschos@lamsade.dauphine.fr](mailto:paschos@lamsade.dauphine.fr)).

## COLLECTION DES CAHIERS ET DOCUMENTS DU LAMSADE

La collection des Cahiers et Documents du LAMSADE publie, en français ou en anglais, les travaux effectués par les chercheurs au sein même du laboratoire mais aussi les travaux menés en liaison étroite avec les collaborateurs du LAMSADE ou avec d'autres chercheurs, principalement à la suite d'un séjour au LAMSADE. Certains de ces travaux peuvent avoir fait l'objet d'une communication à un congrès qui est alors mentionné.

Toute proposition de Cahier ou Document pour publication est transmise au Comité de Rédaction qui le soumet, pour critique et discussion avec l'auteur, à un spécialiste du sujet traité. Toutefois, les opinions émises n'engagent que leurs auteurs.

Ces textes peuvent ensuite être soumis pour publication dans des revues spécialisées à un niveau international.

Un comité de rédaction anime cette collection.

Les Cahiers et Documents peuvent être consultés à la Bibliothèque du LAMSADE. Pour se les procurer, s'adresser au Secrétariat de Rédaction.

### "CAHIERS ET DOCUMENTS" FROM LAMSADE

*The LAMSADE series "Cahiers et Documents", published in French and in English, includes monographs and articles by members of the LAMSADE Research Group as well as papers on joint projects usually completed by visiting scholars in conjunction with members of the LAMSADE Group. Papers originally presented at conferences or colloquia always bear the name and date of the initial communication.*

*All manuscript submitted for publication in the "Cahiers et Documents" series are referred to an external examiner for evaluation and discussion with the author. Nevertheless, the authors assume full responsibility for all opinions expressed therein.*

*Articles appearing in the series may subsequently be submitted for publication to specialized journals distributed internationally.*

*The series is coordinated by an Editorial Board.*

*LAMSADE "Cahiers et Documents" can be consulted in the LAMSADE Library. Requests for back numbers should be addressed to the Editorial Secretary.*

### COMITÉ DE RÉDACTION (EDITORIAL BOARD)

Responsables de la publication (*Editors*) : Vangelis Paschos, Bernard Roy.

Comité de Rédaction (*Editorial Board*) : Cristina Bazgan, Marie-José Blin, Denis Bouyssou, Albert David, Marie-Hélène Hugonnard-Roche, Eric Jacquet-Lagrèze, Patrice Moreaux, Pierre Tolla, Alexis Tsoukiàs.

Secrétariat de Rédaction (*Editorial Secretary*) : Dominique François.

# LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE ET MODÉLISATION DE SYSTÈMES POUR L'AIDE À LA DÉCISION (LAMSADE)

(Unité de Recherche Associée au CNRS UMR 7024)

Directeur : Vangelis PASCHOS

## PUBLICATIONS DISPONIBLES <sup>1 2</sup>

### CAHIERS

- N° 90 – A. LISSER, P. TOLLA : Variants of Karmarkar's algorithm (*mars 1989, 33 pages*).
- N° 91 – D. BOUYSSOU : Problèmes de construction de critères (*avril 1989, 32 pages*).
- N° 94 – D.K. DESPOTIS, D. YANNAKOPOULOS : Méthode d'estimation d'utilités additives concaves en programmation linéaire multiobjectifs (*septembre 1989, 26 pages*).
- N° 95 – B. ROY, R. SLOWINSKI : Criterion of distance between technical programming and socio-economic priority (*deuxième version révisée : mars 1990, 29 pages*).
- N° 97 – B. ROY : Science de la décision ou science de l'aide à la décision ? (*troisième version révisée : février 1992, 48 pages*).
- N° 98 – R. SLOWINSKI : Outranking-based interactive procedure for multiple objective programs (*avril 1990, 24 pages*).
- N° 99 – H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : An interactive multiobjective nonlinear procedure (*avril 1990, 31 pages*).
- N° 100 – J.M. MARTEL, K. ZARAS : Dominance stochastique en analyse multicritère face au risque (*novembre 1990, 32 pages*).
- N° 101 – D. BOUYSSOU, P. PERNY : Ranking methods for valued preference relations: A characterization of a method based on leaving and entering flows (*décembre 1990, 22 pages*).
- N° 102 – S. PINSON : A multi-expert architecture for credit risk assessment (*mars 1991, 41 pages*).
- N° 104 – J.M. MARTEL, B. AOUNI : Méthode multicritère de choix d'un emplacement : Le cas d'un aéroport dans le Nouveau Québec (*avril 1991, 45 pages*).
- N° 106 – B. ROY, D. BOUYSSOU : Decision-aid: an elementary introduction with emphasis on multiple criteria (*novembre 1991, 30 pages*).
- N° 107 – J. GONDZIO : An advanced implementation of Cholesky factorization for computing projections in interior point methods of large scale linear programming (*décembre 1991, 42 pages*).
- N° 108 – J. GONDZIO, D. TACHAT : The design and application of the IPMLO – A FORTRAN library for linear optimization with interior point methods (*janvier 1992, 38 pages*).
- N° 110 – R. SLOWINSKI : A generalization of the indiscernibility relation for rough sets analysis of quantitative information (*mai 1992, 34 pages*).

---

<sup>1</sup> Pour se les procurer, s'adresser au LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, Place du Maréchal De Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris Cedex 16 (Bureau P 613 – Téléphone : 33 (0)1 44 05 40 89 – e.mail: [champ@lamsade.dauphine.fr](mailto:champ@lamsade.dauphine.fr)).

<sup>2</sup> Une liste exhaustive des publications peut être consultée sur le serveur web du LAMSADE : <http://www.lamsade.dauphine.fr>.

- N° 111 - V. MOUSSEAU : Are judgments about relative importance of criteria dependent or independent of the set of alternatives? An experimental approach (*mai 1992, 42 pages*).
- N° 112 - V. Th. PASCHOS, L. RENOTTE : Approximability preserving reductions for NP-complete problems (*janvier 1993, 37 pages*).
- N° 113 - H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : A new heuristic method for solving global optimization problem (*janvier 1993, 34 pages*).
- N° 114 - L. DUCKSTEIN, W. TREICHEL, S. EL MAGNOUNI : Multicriterion analysis of groundwater management alternatives (*janvier 1993, 40 pages*).
- N° 115 - A. JASZKIEWICZ, R. SLOWINSKI : The light beam search over a non-dominated set - an interactive procedure for multiple-objective analysis of linear and non-linear programs (*juin 1993, 29 pages*).
- N° 116 - M. DEMANGE, V.Th. PASCHOS : On an approximation measure founded on the links between optimization and polynomial approximation theory (*septembre 1993, 38 pages*).
- N° 117 - C.A. BANA e COSTA, J.C. VANSNICK : Sur la quantification des jugements de valeur : l'approche MACBETH (*septembre 1993, 47 pages*).
- N° 118 - O. CORDEIRO-NETTO, E. PARENT, L. DUCKSTEIN : Evaluation of two MCDM techniques for staging the multicriterion design of long-term water supply in Southern France (*septembre 1993, 35 pages*).
- N° 119 - A. SCHAAL, H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : Modélisation et système interactif de planification de stocks liés (*septembre 1993, 25 pages*).
- N° 120 - J.C. POMEROL, B. ROY, C. ROSENTHAL-SABROUX, A. SAAD : An "intelligent" DSS for the multicriteria evaluation of railway timetables (*octobre 1993, 33 pages*).
- N° 121 - V.Th. PASCHOS : A relation between the approximated versions of minimum set covering, minimum vertex covering and maximum independent set (*octobre 1993, 21 pages*).
- N° 122 - V. MOUSSEAU : Compensatoriness of preferences in matching and choice (*janvier 1994, 27 pages*).
- N° 123 - A. TSOUKIAS, Ph. VINCKE : A new axiomatic foundation of the partial comparability theory (*février 1994, 38 pages*).
- N° 124 - B. ROY, H. HASSAN : Intérêt des méthodes multicritères pour l'aide à la décision concernant les investissements en matière d'infrastructures de transports (*deuxième version révisée : octobre 1994, 25 pages*).
- N° 125 - J. BLOT, W. FERNANDEZ de la VEGA, V. Th. PASCHOS, R. SAAD : Average case analysis of greedy algorithms for optimisation problems on set systems (*octobre 1994, 40 pages*).
- N° 126 - V. MOUSSEAU : Eliciting information concerning the relative importance of criteria (*janvier 1995, 37 pages*).
- N° 127 - R. FAIZ, M. GONDRAN : Modélisation formelle de textes exprimant des concepts temporels : Cas des textes juridiques (*juin 1995, 42 pages*).
- N° 128 - M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Exact and approximation results on maximum independent set and minimum vertex covering - Graphs with great stability number (*juin 1995, 38 pages*).
- N° 129 - A. MARSON, A. TSOUKIÀS : Venice safeguard: the decision process and how to provide decision aid (*juin 1995, 39 pages*).
- N° 130 - B. ROY, D. VANDERPOOTEN : The European School of MCDA: Emergence, basic features and current works (*juin 1995, 33 pages*).
- N° 131 - B. ROY, V. MOUSSEAU : A theoretical framework for analysing the notion of relative importance of criteria (*juin 1995, 36 pages*).
- N° 132 - C. ZOPOUNIDIS, A.I. DIMITRAS, L. LE RUDULIER : A multicriteria approach for the analysis and prediction of business failure in Greece (*novembre 1995, 28 pages*).
- N° 133 - C. HAUCHE, C. ROSENTHAL-SABROUX : KBS life-cycle and validation (*janvier 1996, 26 pages*).
- N° 134 - P. JOURNÉE, P. PERNY, D. VANDERPOOTEN : Une méthodologie multicritère pour la vérification des accords sur le contrôle des armements en Europe (*février 1996, 37 pages*).

- N° 135 – W. FERNANDEZ de la VEGA, V.Th. PASCHOS, A.N. STAFYLOPATIS : Average-case complexity for the execution of recursive definitions on relational databases (*février 1996, 25 pages*).
- N° 136 – V. GABREL, D. VANDERPOOTEN : Generation and selection of efficient paths in a multiple criteria graph: the case of daily planning the shots taken by a satellite with an interactive procedure (*février 1996, 22 pages*).
- N° 137 – V.Th. PASCHOS, M. DEMANGE : Relative hardness of constructive–non-constructive approximation: the case of maximum independent set problem (*avril 1996, 29 pages*).
- N° 138 – F. BALBO, P. MORAÏTIS, S. PINSON : AMCA : Approche Multicritère pour la Coopération entre Agents (*juin 1996, 29 pages*).
- N° 139 – A. TSOUKIÀS : A first order, four-valued weakly paraconsistent logic (*juin 1996, 36 pages*).
- N° 140 – V. MOUSSEAU, R. SLOWINSKI : Inferring an ELECTRE TRI model from assignment examples (*juin 1996, 30 pages*).
- N° 141 – R. MIENKO, J. STEFANOWSKI, K. TOUMI, D. VANDERPOOTEN : Discovery-oriented induction of decision rules (*septembre 1996, 29 pages*).
- N° 142 – A. PARTOUCHE : Comparaison de deux approches sur un problème de planification d'horaires : la construction de cycles de travail (*octobre 1996, 33 pages*).
- N° 143 – A.B. FERHAT, A. JASZKIEWICZ : Trichotomy based procedure for multiple criteria choice problems (*décembre 1996, 26 pages*).
- N° 144 – B. ROY : Une lacune en RO-AD – Les conclusions robustes (*mai 1997, 32 pages*).
- N° 145 – A. SCHAAL, H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : Une approche hybride de résolution de problèmes linéaires généraux en nombres entiers (*mai 1997, 26 pages*).
- N° 146 – E. JACQUET-LAGRÈZE, D. MONTAUT, A. PARTOUCHE : The shift scheduling problem: Different formulations and solution methods (*juillet 1997, 30 pages*).
- N° 147 – H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA, A. SCHAAL : Comparison of simulated annealing and genetic algorithm within a hybrid method for general integer problems (*juillet 1997, 28 pages*).
- N° 148 – D. MAILLES, J. KADAOU-ABBASSI : Résolution analytique de file d'attente avec arrivées générées par parallélisation (*septembre 1997, 26 pages*).
- N° 149 – J. FIGUEIRA, M.E. CAPTIVO, J. CLIMACO : Sur le problème des chemins bi-critères : Une approche interactive a posteriori (*novembre 1997, 42 pages*).
- N° 150 – V. MOUSSEAU, J. FIGUEIRA, J.Ph. NAUX : Using assignment examples to infer weights for ELECTRE TRI method: Some experimental results (*décembre 1997, 40 pages*).
- N° 151 – A. PARTOUCHE : A hybrid method for the general rostering problem with a mixed and homogeneous workforce (*décembre 1997, 35 pages*).
- N° 152 – S. GRECO, B. MATARAZZO, R. SLOWINSKI, A. TSOUKIÀS : Exploitation of a rough approximation of the outranking relation (*décembre 1997, 32 pages*).
- N° 153 – Ph. FORTEMPS, J. TEGHEM : Multi-objective fuzzy linear program: the MOFAC method (*janvier 1998, 26 pages*).
- N° 154 – A. PARTOUCHE, D. VANDERPOOTEN : Une classe polynomiale de problèmes de construction de vacations (*février 1998, 32 pages*).
- N° 155 – M.J. BLIN, A. TSOUKIÀS : Multicriteria methodology contribution to the software quality evaluation (*mai 1998, 37 pages*).
- N° 156 – I. STAMELOS, A. TSOUKIÀS : Software evaluation problem situations (*septembre 1998, 34 pages*).
- N° 157 – S. AKNINE, S. PINSON : Conception orientée agent du travail coopératif (*octobre 1998, 35 pages*).
- N° 158 – C. ARONDEL, Ph. GIRARDIN : Sorting cropping systems on the basis of their impact on groundwater quality (*novembre 1998, 38 pages*).

- N° 159 – L. ALFANDARI : The greedy approach for the crew pairing problem: some cases of polynomial subproblems (janvier 1999, 19 pages).
- N° 160 – V. PASCHOS : Approximation of weighted hereditary induced-subgraph maximization problems (janvier 1999, 18 pages).
- N° 161 – A. LIKAS, V. PASCHOS : A new greedy-solution representation for the traveling salesman problem (juin 1999, 18 pages).
- N° 162 – L. ALFANDARI, V. PASCHOS : Master-slave strategy and polynomial approximation (juin 1999, 26 pages).
- N° 163 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Maximum-weight independent set is as "well-approximated" as the unweighted one (juin 1999, 29 pages).
- N° 164 – M.J. BLIN, F. FABRET : A coordination mechanism to prevent the violation of distributed constraints (septembre 1999, 37 pages).
- N° 165 – A. NGO THE, A. TSOUKIÀS, Ph. VINCKE : PQI interval orders (novembre 1999, 35 pages).
- N° 166 – C. MURAT, V. PASCHOS : A priori optimization for the probabilistic maximum independent set problem (janvier 2000, 34 pages).
- N° 167 – B. ROY : Réflexion sur le thème Quête de l'optimum et aide à la décision (février 2000, 32 pages).
- N° 168 – A. NGO THE, V. MOUSSEAU : Using assignment examples to infer category limits for the ELECTRE TRI method (mai 2000, 35 pages).
- N° 169 – B. ROY : Optimisation lexicographique d'une séquence de dysfonctionnements non nécessairement quantitatifs (mai 2000, 27 pages).
- N° 170 – C. MURAT, V. PASCHOS : The probabilistic minimum vertex covering problem (juin 2000, 24 pages).
- N° 171 – M. DEMANGE, C. MURAT, V. PASCHOS, S. TOULOUSE : Un nouveau modèle pour la construction de réseau de télécommunication à coût minimum (juin 2000, 32 pages).
- N° 172 – J. MONNOT, V. PASCHOS, S. TOULOUSE : Differential approximation results for traveling salesman problem (juin 2000, 23 pages).
- N° 173 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Approximation polynomiale : notions de difficulté et leur impact pour étudier la structure de NP (juin 2000, 28 pages).
- N° 174 – L. DIAS, V. MOUSSEAU, J. FIGUEIRA, J. CLÍMACO : An aggregation/disaggregation approach to obtain robust conclusions with ELECTRE TRI (juin 2000, 35 pages).
- N° 175 – C.A. BANA E COSTA, J.C. VANSNICK : Une critique de base de l'approche de Saaty : Mise en question de la méthode de la valeur propre maximale (février 2001, 23 pages).
- N° 176 – J. MONNOT : The maximum Hamiltonian path problem with specified endpoint(s) (mars 2001, 23 pages).
- N° 177 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Towards a general formal framework for polynomial approximation (mars 2001, 49 pages).
- N° 178 – J. CLÍMACO, L. DIAS, J. FIGUEIRA, C. GOMES da SILVA, V. MOUSSEAU : Resolving inconsistencies among constraints on the parameters of an MCDA model (avril 2001, 24 pages).
- N° 179 – M.J. BLIN, F. FABRET : A framework integrating collaboration and coordination (avril 2001, 28 pages).
- N° 180 – V. PASCHOS, C. BAZGAN : Differential approximation for satisfiability and related problems (avril 2001, 19 pages).
- N° 181 – M.E. CAPTIVO, J. CLIMACO, J. FIGUEIRA, E. MARTINS, J.L. SANTOS : Solving multiple criteria  $\{0, 1\}$ -knapsack problems using a labeling algorithm (mai 2001, 33 pages).
- N° 182 – D. de WERRA, M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS, J. MONNOT : Time slot scheduling of compatible jobs (septembre 2001, 37 pages).
- N° 183 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : On-line vertex-covering (septembre 2001, 36 pages).
- N° 184 – A. NGO THE, A. TSOUKIÀS : Numerical representation of PQI interval orders (septembre 2001, 38 pages).

- N° 185 – K. SRINIVASA RAJU, L. DUCKSTEIN, C. ARONDEL : Multicriteria analysis for sustainable water resources planning: A case study in Spain (*décembre 2001, 33 pages*).
- N° 186 – K. SRINIVASA RAJU, L. DUCKSTEIN : Multiobjective fuzzy linear programming for sustainable irrigation planning: An Indian case study (*décembre 2001, 25 pages*).
- N° 187 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Polynomial approximation and graph-coloring (*janvier 2002, 49 pages*).
- N° 188 – C. GOMES DA SILVA, J. FIGUEIRA, J. LISBOA, S. BARMAN : An aggregate production planning model based on multiple criteria mixed integer linear programming (*janvier 2002, 31 pages*).
- N° 189 – V. MOUSSEAU, L. DIAS : Valued outranking relations in ELECTRE providing manageable disaggregation procedures (*janvier 2002, 29 pages*).
- N° 190 – S. DAMART, V. MOUSSEAU, I. SOMMERLATT : Du mode d'implication d'acteurs multiples dans le cadre de l'utilisation d'un modèle d'affectation multicritère : Analyse au regard d'une application à la tarification des transports publics (*février 2002, 36 pages*).
- N° 191 – J. FIGUEIRA : On the integer bi-criteria network flow problem: A branch-and-bound approach (*février 2002, 38 pages*).
- N° 192 – M. DEMANGE, V. PASCHOS : Réflexion autour de nouvelles notions pour l'analyse des algorithmes d'approximation (*mars 2002, 69 pages*).
- N° 193 – F. DELLA CROCE, A. GROSSO, V. Th. PASCHOS : Lower bounds on the approximation ratios of leading heuristics for the single machine total tardiness problem (*avril 2002, 18 pages*).
- N° 194 – B. ROY, R. SLOWINSKI : Multi-criteria assignment of tasks to heterogeneous processing units with incompatibility and capacity constraints (*mai 2002, 51 pages*).

---

## DOCUMENTS

- N° 50 – M. DEZA, M. LAURENT : Facets of the complete cut cone (*avril 1989, 57 pages*).
- N° 51 – B. ROY : Decision-aid and decision-making (*mai 1989, 30 pages*).
- N° 53 – B. ROY : The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods (*juillet 1989, 40 pages*).
- N° 55 – G. BAROUCH : Les conflits au risque des théories de Palo Alto : Le cas de l'aménagement de la Cisse (*septembre 1989, 82 pages*).
- N° 56 – E. KONARZEWSKA : BIPOLAR: Multiple criteria decision aid using BIPOLAR reference system (*septembre 1989, 60 pages*).
- N° 57 – M. DEZA, M. LAURENT : Facets for the complete cut cone II: Clique-Web inequalities (*octobre 1989, 54 pages*).
- N° 61 – A. DAVID, J.L. GIORDANO : Rôle des représentations graphiques et analytiques simples dans le développement de la coopération Marketing/Bureau d'Etudes chez un grand constructeur automobile (*avril 1990, 53 pages*).
- N° 62 – Ph. VINCKE : Exploitation d'une relation non évaluée dans une problématique de rangement complet (*janvier 1991, 47 pages*).
- N° 65 – B. ROY, R. SLOWINSKI, W. TREICHEL : Multi-criteria programming of rural water supply systems (*mai 1991, 54 pages*).
- N° 73 – G.R. D'AVIGNON : Démarche d'aide à la décision d'un plan directeur : Le cas des palais de justice (*mars 1992, 65 pages*).
- N° 74 – W. YU : ELECTRE TRI – Aspects méthodologiques et manuel d'utilisation (*avril 1992, 100 pages*).
- N° 78 – Ph. VAN ASBROECK, D. CHAMP-BRUNET : Un thésaurus français-anglais de termes d'aide multicritère à la décision (mars 1993) (*deuxième version révisée : juillet 1994, 85 pages*).

- N° 80 – C.A. BANA e COSTA : Les problématiques dans le cadre de l'activité d'aide à la décision (septembre 1993, 68 pages).
- N° 81 – C.A. BANA e COSTA, Ph. VINCKE : Comment prendre en compte l'imprécision des taux de substitution dans un modèle additif d'agrégation des préférences (novembre 1993, 59 pages).
- N° 82 – V. GABREL : La planification à moyen terme des prises de vue à réaliser par un satellite défilant d'observation de la terre : modélisation et solutions algorithmiques (janvier 1994, 42 pages).
- N° 83 – L. DUCKSTEIN, G. EDER, H.P. NACHTNEBEL : Ranking water resources alternatives and criteria by multicriterion Q-analysis: An Austrian case study (juin 1994, 49 pages).
- N° 84 – C.J. MAESTRE : A propos des relations entre culture et gestion – Une illustration opérationnelle : Gestion par produit, gestion par processus (juin 1994, 73 pages).
- N° 85 – D. VALLÉE, P. ZIELNIEWICZ : ELECTRE III-IV, version 3.x – Aspects méthodologiques (tome 1) (juillet 1994, 67 pages).
- N° 86 – Ph. VAN ASBROECK, D. CHAMP-BRUNET : An English-French thesaurus of multicriteria decision aid indexing terms (octobre 1994, 85 pages).
- N° 87 – J.D. LÉNARD : Approche multicritère de la gestion des approvisionnements – Aide à la décision et pilotage global d'un ensemble d'articles (mai 1995, 79 pages).
- N° 89 – P. MORAÏTIS : Intelligence artificielle distribuée et systèmes multi-agents : un état de l'art (novembre 1995, 75 pages).
- N° 90 – S. GANÇARSKI, M. ZAMFIROIU : Le module ZGraph : un gestionnaire de vues groupées sur un graphe pour le SGBD O<sub>2</sub> (décembre 1995, 35 pages).
- N° 91 – Numéro Spécial Escargot d'Or 1996 (février 1996, 38 pages).
- N° 92 – V.Th. PASCHOS, P. GRISONI, M. DEMANGE : Approximation algorithms for some combinatorial optimization problems (mars 1996, 30 pages).
- N° 93 – Ph. VALLIN : Détermination d'une période économique robuste dans le cadre du modèle de Wilson (mai 1996, 31 pages).
- N° 94 – J. FIGUEIRA, H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : Application d'un algorithme de sous-gradient pour explorer le voisinage d'un point satisfaisant dans le cadre des problèmes de flot multicritères (juin 1996, 61 pages).
- N° 95 – M. KOSTKOWSKI, R. SLOWINSKI : UTA+ application (v 1.20) – User's manual (juillet 1996, 32 pages).
- N° 96 – S. HADDAD, P. MOREAUX : Aggregation and decomposition for performance evaluation of synchronous product of high level Petri nets (septembre 1996, 64 pages).
- N° 97 – Y. SISKOS, N.F. MATSATSINIS, G. BAOURAKIS : Multicriteria analysis in agricultural marketing – The case of French olive oil market (décembre 1996, 32 pages).
- N° 99 – J. FIGUEIRA, H. M'SILTI, P. TOLLA : Recent developments in the multi-criteria network flow problem (février 1997, 38 pages).
- N° 100 – Numéro Spécial Escargot d'Or 1997 (mai 1997, 37 pages).
- N° 101 – F. BEN ABDELAZIZ, P. LANG, R. NADEAU : Notions d'efficacité en programmation linéaire multiobjectif stochastique (mai 1997, 40 pages).
- N° 102 – S. HADDAD, P. MOREAUX : Aggregation and decomposition for performance evaluation of asynchronous product of high level Petri nets (mai 1997, 51 pages).
- N° 103 – J. PEREIRA : Flexibilité dans les systèmes de production : Une approche relationnelle et dynamique (septembre 1997, 31 pages).
- N° 104 – B. ROY : L'aide à la décision aujourd'hui : Que devrait-on en attendre ? (novembre 1997, 67 pages).
- N° 105 – A. PAPAYANNAKIS : Analyse spatiale et modélisation statistique du trafic du réseau autobus de la banlieue parisienne (janvier 1998, 77 pages).
- N° 106 – J.M. MARTEL, A. GUITOUNI, I. ABI-ZEID, M. BÉLANGER, Z. CHRAYGANE, K. ZAHEDI : Construction d'une famille de critères dans le cadre du projet CESA (mars 1998, 45 pages).

- N° 107 – **Rapport Scientifique (1995-1997)** (juin 1998, 292 pages).
- N° 107 bis – **Extrait du Rapport Scientifique (1995-1997)** (juillet 1998, 125 pages).
- N° 108 – **Numéro Spécial Escargot d'Or 1998** (juin 1998, 35 pages).
- N° 109 – **B. ROY, J. FIGUEIRA** : Détermination des poids des critères dans les méthodes de type ELECTRE avec la technique de Simos révisée (juillet 1998, 45 pages).
- N° 110 – **M.P. HUGET, S. PINSON** : Une typologie des modèles d'agents (janvier 1999, 41 pages).
- N° 111 – **V. MOUSSEAU, R. SLOWINSKI, P. ZIELNIEWICZ** : ELECTRE TRI 2.0a – Methodological guide and user's manual (février 1999, 78 pages).
- N° 112 – **V. MOUSSEAU, B. ROY, I. SOMMERLATT** : Elaboration d'un outil d'aide à la décision en vue de l'évolution de la tarification des transports publics en Ile-de-France (mai 1999, 55 pages).
- N° 113 – **E. PASCHETTA, A. TSOUKIÀS** : A real world MCDA application: evaluating software (juin 1999, 55 pages).
- N° 114 – **Numéro spécial Escargot d'Or 1999** (juin 1999, 34 pages).
- N° 115 – **L. VERCOUTER, N. FRANCHESQUIN, J.P. TREUIL, S. PINSON** : Simulation multi-agent des processus de négociation en agronomie : Un exemple de gestion locale sous contrainte collective (juillet 1999, 49 pages).
- N° 116 – **R. AZIBI, D. VANDERPOOTEN** : L'agrégation de conséquences dispersées pour la construction de critères : L'étude d'aménagement Loire moyenne (juillet 1999, 33 pages).
- N° 117 – **A. TSOUKIÀS** : Sur la généralisation des concepts de concordance et de discordance en aide multicritère à la décision (novembre 1999, 87 pages).
- N° 118 – **A. AÏT YOUNES, R. AZIBI, B. ROY** : ELECTRE IS – Manuel d'utilisation (Tome 1) (mars 2000, 41 pages).
- N° 118 bis – **A. AÏT YOUNES, R. AZIBI, B. ROY** : ELECTRE IS - Manuel d'utilisation (Tome 2) (juin 2000, 73 pages).
- N° 119 – **Rapport Scientifique (1996-1999)** (mai 2000, 288 pages).
- N° 120 – **Numéro Spécial Escargot d'Or 2000** (juin 2000, 33 pages).
- N° 121 – **V. PASCHOS, C. MURAT, V. GABREL** : La recherche opérationnelle pour la planification de prises de vue par satellite (septembre 2001, 41 pages).
- N° 122 – **A. TSOUKIÀS, A. PAPAYANNAKIS** : A real case study on transportation scenario comparison (novembre 2001, 35 pages).
- N° 123 – **ESCARGOT D'OR 2001** (décembre 2001, 27 pages).
- N° 124 – **B. ROY** : Présentation et interprétation de la méthode ELECTRE TRI pour affecter des zones dans des catégories de risque (mars 2001, 25 pages).

## Table of Contents

<b>Résumé</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Theoretical background</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Approximation results</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1 Constructive heuristics . . . . .	2
3.2 Decomposition heuristics . . . . .	4
<b>4 Conclusions</b>	<b>5</b>

# Des bornes inférieures pour le rapport d'approximation pour les principales heuristiques du problème du retard total d'ordonnancement sur une seule machine (*single machine total scheduling tardiness problem*)

## Résumé

Le problème du retard total d'ordonnancement sur une seule machine (*single machine total scheduling tardiness problem*) est faiblement **NP**-hard ; il a été largement étudié durant les dernières décennies. Plusieurs méthodes heuristiques ont été proposées qui résolvent assez bien ce problème en pratique. Par ailleurs, il peut être aussi résolu par un schéma d'approximation complètement polynomial (avec complexité d' $O(n^7/\epsilon)$ ,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ). Dans cette note, nous démontrons que toutes les heuristiques constructives connues comme MDD, PSK, WI, COVERT, AU, NBR ont un rapport d'approximation au pire des cas arbitrairement mauvais. Le même comportement est observé par les heuristique de décomposition DEC/EDD, DEC/MDD, DEC/PSK et DEC/WI.

**Mots-clé** : ordonnancement, retard total, algorithme d'approximation, rapport d'approximation, problème **NP**-complet.

## Lower bounds on the approximation ratios of leading heuristics for the single machine total tardiness problem

### Abstract

The weakly **NP**-hard single machine total scheduling tardiness problem has been extensively studied in the last decades. Various heuristics have been proposed to efficiently solve in practice a problem for which a fully polynomial time approximation scheme exists (though with complexity  $O(n^7/\epsilon)$ ). In this note we show that all known constructive heuristics for the problem, namely MDD, PSK, WI, COVERT, AU, NBR, present arbitrarily bad approximation ratio. Same behavior is shown by the decomposition heuristics DEC/EDD, DEC/MDD, DEC/PSK and DEC/WI.

**Keywords**: scheduling, total tardiness, approximation algorithm, approximation ratio, **NP**-complete problem.

## 1 Introduction

We consider the one-machine total tardiness  $1||\sum T_j$  problem where a jobset  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $n$  jobs must be scheduled on a single machine. For each job  $j$ , we define a processing time  $p_j$  and a due date  $d_j$ . The problem calls for arranging the jobset in a sequence  $S^* = (1, 2, \dots, n)$  such that  $T(N, S^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{C_i - d_i, 0\}$ , where  $C_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$  is minimum.

The  $1||\sum T_j$  problem is **NP**-hard in the ordinary sense ([1]). It has been extensively studied in the literature and many exact procedures were proposed. The state-of-the-art exact method of [2] manages to solve problems with up to 500 jobs. A fully polynomial time approximation scheme was given in [3], though with huge complexity  $O(n^7/\varepsilon)$ . Despite the presence of a fully polynomial time approximation scheme, various heuristic procedures were proposed (a non exhaustive list of papers include [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]). The purpose of this work is to analyze the approximation ratio of the most applied heuristics for the  $1||\sum T_j$  problem. Given an algorithm  $A$  computing a feasible schedule  $S$ , for a jobset  $N$  of  $1||\sum T_j$ , we denote by  $T_A(N, S)$  the total tardiness of  $S$  and by  $r_A(N, S)$  the approximation ratio  $T_A(N, S)/T(N, S^*)$  where  $S^*$  indicates the optimal solution for  $1||\sum T_j$  on  $N$ . We will use  $r_A$  to indicate the worst value of  $r_A(N, S)$  over all jobsets  $N$ .

We show in this note that, quite surprisingly, all the constructive heuristics, the basic decomposition heuristic DEC/EDD, as well as the enhanced DEC/MDD, DEC/PSK and DEC/WI decomposition heuristics perform arbitrarily bad since the lower bounds on their corresponding approximation ratios depend at least linearly on the problem size. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the theoretical background for this problem is recalled; in Section 3, the constructive and decomposition heuristics are briefly presented and their approximation ratio is discussed; finally, Section 4 concludes the paper with final remarks.

## 2 Theoretical background

We make use of the following notation. Given the jobset  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  be an SPT sequence (where  $i < j$  whenever  $p_i = p_j$  and  $d_i \leq d_j$ ). Let also  $([1], [2], \dots, [n])$  be an EDD sequence (where  $[i] < [j]$  whenever  $d_i = d_j$  and  $p_i \leq p_j$ ). As the cost function is a regular performance measure, we know that in the optimal solution the jobs are processed with no interruption starting from time zero. Let  $p(B) = \sum_{k \in B} p_k$ . Let  $B_j$  and  $A_j$  be the sets of jobs that have been shown, at any time, to precede and follow job  $j$  in an optimal sequence. Correspondingly, let  $e_j$  and  $l_j$  be the earliest and latest completion times of job  $j$  in this sequence. Then,  $e_j = p(B_j) + p_j$  and  $l_j = p(N - A_j)$ . The main known theoretical properties are the following.

**Property 1.** ([11]) *Consider two jobs  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i < j$ . Then,  $i \rightarrow j$  if  $d_i \leq \max\{d_j, e_j\}$ , else  $j \rightarrow i$  if  $d_i + p_i > l_j$ .*

**Property 2.** ([12]) *Let job  $n$  in SPT corresponds to job  $[k]$  in EDD. Then, job  $n$  can be set only in position  $h \geq k$  and the jobs preceding and following  $k$  are uniquely determined as  $B_n = \{[1], [2], \dots, [k-1], [k+1], \dots, [h]\}$  and  $A_n = \{[h+1], \dots, [n]\}$ .*

**Property 3.** ([12, 13, 14]) *Let  $C_n(h) = \sum_{j=1}^h p_{[j]}$ . Then, job  $n$  ( $[k]$ ) cannot be set in position  $h \geq k$  if:*

$$C_n(h) \geq d_{[h+1]}, \quad h < n;$$

$$C_n(h) < d_{[h]} + p_{[h]}, \quad h > k;$$

$$C_n(h) \leq d_{[r]} + p_{[r]}, \quad \text{for some } r = k, \dots, h-1.$$

By exploiting Property 2, Lawler proposed in [12] a pseudo-polynomial dynamic programming algorithm running with complexity  $O(n^4 \sum p_i)$ . Also, by means of scaling techniques, he derived in [3] a fully polynomial time approximation scheme running with complexity  $O(n^7/\varepsilon)$ . Further recent improved dominance and decomposition results ([15, 16, 17]) are not mentioned here as they were not used in the considered heuristics.

### 3 Approximation results

The following lemma provides an upper bound for the approximation ratio of the EDD sequence. It limits it to  $n$  and shows that it can be attained.

**Lemma 1.**  $r_{\text{EDD}} \leq n$  and this bound is tight.

*Proof.* Consider a jobset  $N$  and an EDD sequence  $S_{\text{EDD}}$  on  $N$ . Denote by  $T_{\max}(N, S_{\text{EDD}})$  the value of the maximum tardiness of  $S_{\text{EDD}}$  and by  $S^*$  an optimal solution of  $1||\sum T_j$  on  $N$ . As the EDD rule minimizes the  $1||T_{\max}$  problem, we have  $T_{\max}(N, S_{\text{EDD}}) \leq T_{\max}(N, S^*) \leq T(N, S^*)$ . But then,  $T(N, S_{\text{EDD}}) \leq nT_{\max}(N, S_{\text{EDD}}) \leq nT(N, S^*)$ , and the upper bound claimed is proved.

In order to prove the tightness of the ratio above, consider the following example ( $E_1$ ):  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p_1 = m$ ,  $p_2, \dots, p_n = 1$ ,  $d_1 = 0$ ,  $d_2, \dots, d_n = \varepsilon$ . The optimal sequence is  $S^* = (2, \dots, n, 1)$ , with  $T(N, S^*) = n(n+1)/2 + m - 1 - (n-1)\varepsilon$ . The EDD rule produces sequence  $S_{\text{EDD}} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ , where  $T_1 = m$ ,  $T_i = m + i - 1 - \varepsilon$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Thus,  $T(N, S_{\text{EDD}}) = nm + n(n-1)/2 - (n-1)\varepsilon$ . Hence, for  $m$  large enough and  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we have  $r_{\text{EDD}}(N, S_{\text{EDD}}) \approx n$ .  $\square$

#### 3.1 Constructive heuristics

This subsection deals with approximation ratios for constructive heuristics. Quick dispatching rules as well as simple greedy algorithms are grouped in this class. Below are indicated and briefly exposed the main constructive heuristics proposed for the  $1||\sum T_j$  problem. For sake of conciseness, only one-shot procedures are fully described while, for the other procedures, the relevant references are indicated for details.

MDD ([4]): at time  $t$ , schedule  $i$  before  $j$  if  $\max\{t+p_i, d_i\} < \max\{t+p_j, d_j\}$ , or  $\max\{t+p_i, d_i\} = \max\{t+p_j, d_j\}$  and  $p_i < p_j$ .

PSK: starts with a SPT sequence and scans in that order the jobs searching for the best job to be placed in the first unscheduled position; once that position is filled, the next position is considered and the process is iterated until all jobs have been sequenced; we refer here to the description of the algorithm in [8].

WI: can be seen as a hybrid construction/local search heuristic because it uses adjacent job pairwise interchanges in the process of building the schedule; we refer here to the description of the algorithm in [10].

COVERT ([5]): given a partial sequence  $S$ , places one job at a time among the remaining unscheduled jobs according to the following priority index  $\text{PI}_j$  ( $E$  denotes the set of unscheduled jobs that have no unscheduled predecessors according to Property 1):

$$\text{PI}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & d_j \leq p(S) + p_j \\ \frac{p(S \cup E) - d_j}{p(E) - p_j} & p(S) + p_j < d_j < p(S \cup E) \\ 0 & p(S \cup E) \leq d_j; \end{cases}$$

the job selected is the one with largest  $PI_j/p_j$  ratio. This heuristic was designed for the more general  $1||\sum w_j T_j$  problem.

AU ([7]): at time  $t$ , schedule  $i$  before  $j$  if  $u_i > u_j$ , where  $u_i = \exp[-\max\{d_i - t - p_i, 0\}/k\bar{p}]/p_i$  and  $\bar{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i/n$ . This heuristic, specifically developed like COVERT for the more general weighted tardiness problem  $1||\sum w_j T_j$ , does not take into account Property 1.

NBR: starts with an EDD schedule and checks whether a job should be relocated by means of a dominance rule based on Property 1 used in combination with the Net Benefit of job Relocation; we refer here to the description of the algorithm in [6].

**Proposition 1.**  $r_{\text{MDD}} = r_{\text{PSK}} = r_{\text{WI}} = r_{\text{COVERT}} \geq n/2$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following example denoted by  $E_2$  in what follows:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $p_1 = n, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} = 1, d_1 = n, d_2, \dots, d_{n+1} = n + \varepsilon$ .

The MDD rule selects at time  $t = 0$  job 1 to be scheduled in first position. All the other (identical) jobs will then follow. Hence an EDD sequence  $S = (1, \dots, n+1)$  is generated where  $T_1 = 0, T_i = i - 1 - \varepsilon$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n+1$ . Thus,  $T_{\text{MDD}}(N, S) = n(n+1)/2 - n\varepsilon$ . The optimal sequence is  $S^* = (2, \dots, n+1, 1)$ , with  $T(N, S^*) = n$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $r_{\text{MDD}}(N, S) \approx n/2$ .

As pointed out in [18] and [19], procedures PSK and WI are basically equivalent to the MDD rule for all those instances such as example  $E_2$  where there are no couples of jobs  $i$  and  $j$  with  $p_i \neq p_j$  or  $d_i \neq d_j$  such that  $\max\{t + p_i, d_i\} = \max\{t + p_j, d_j\}$ . Indeed, both PSK and WI reach the same result as MDD in example  $E_2$ .

Finally, with respect to COVERT, notice that Property 1 implies  $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n+1$ , whereas job 1 is not involved in precedence relations. Also, notice that  $PI_1 = 1$ . Consider the first stage, where  $S = \emptyset, E = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $p(S) = 0, p(E) = n+1$ , and  $PI_2 = (1 - \varepsilon)/n$ . Hence job 1 is scheduled first, yielding the same sequence  $S_{\text{COVERT}} = (1, 2, \dots, n+1)$ , and this completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.**  $r_{\text{AU}} \geq n^k$  for any constant  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following example  $E_3$ :  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $p_1 = n, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} = \varepsilon, d_1 = n, d_2, \dots, d_{n+1} = n - 1$ .

Notice that  $\bar{p} = (n + n\varepsilon)/n \approx 1$ , for large  $n$ . We have  $S^* = (2, \dots, n, n+1, 1)$  and  $T(N, S^*) = n\varepsilon$ . Applying AU, at time  $t = 0$  we get:  $u_1 = 1/n$  and, for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ ,  $u_i = (1/\varepsilon)(\exp[-(n-1-\varepsilon)/(k\bar{p})])$ . For  $\varepsilon = n^{-k}$  and  $n$  large enough, we have  $u_i < u_1$  and job 1 is scheduled first. The other (identical) jobs are sequenced next. The resulting sequence is  $S = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ , with  $T(N, S) = n - n\varepsilon$ , thus giving  $r_{\text{AU}}(N, S_{\text{AU}}) \approx 1/\varepsilon = n^k$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.**  $r_{\text{NBR}} \geq n/6$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following example denoted by  $E_4$ : set  $n = 2m + 2$  and consider  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m+2\}$ ,  $p_1 = m, p_2 = 1, p_3 = \dots = p_{m+1} = \varepsilon, p_{m+2} = \dots = p_{2m+1} = 1, p_{2m+2} = 2\varepsilon, d_1 = m, d_2 = m + (\varepsilon/2), d_i = m + (i-2)\varepsilon$ , for  $i = 3, \dots, m+1, d_{m+2} = m+1 + (m-1)\varepsilon, d_j = j + (m-1)\varepsilon$ , for  $j = m+3, \dots, 2m, d_{2m+1} = d_{2m+2} = 2m + (m-1)\varepsilon$ .

The NBR algorithm considers, at any stage, a sequence  $\bar{S}$  and a set of jobs  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  in  $\bar{S}$  such that  $T_{i_k} < p_{i_k}$  and  $p_{i_1} > p_{i_2} > \dots > p_{i_k}$ . A job is selected among  $i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}$  in order to be moved just after  $i_k$ , so that the decrease in tardiness is maximum (see [6] for details). Notice that, for example  $E_4$ , NBR will execute one single stage, considering jobs 1,  $2m+1, 2m+2$ , and moving job 1 to the last position with tardiness  $(m+1) + (m+1)\varepsilon$ . The sequence induced by NBR is then  $S_{\text{NBR}} = (2, 3, \dots, 2m+2, 1)$  with  $T(N, S_{\text{NBR}}) = (m+1) + (m+1)\varepsilon$ . The optimal sequence

is  $S^* = (2, 3, \dots, m+1, 1, m+2, m+3, \dots, 2m+2)$  with  $T(N, S^*) = 3 + (m+1)\varepsilon$ . Recalling that  $n = 2m+2$ , we have  $r_{\text{NBR}}(N, S_{\text{NBR}}) = ((m+1) + (m+1)\varepsilon)/(3+2\varepsilon) \geq m/3 \approx n/6$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Decomposition heuristics

Decomposition heuristics were proposed in [9, 20] to which we refer for details. Below are sketched the main decomposition heuristics applied to the  $1||\sum T_j$  problem.

DEC/EDD: exploits Properties 2 and 3; when more than one position  $k$  can be occupied by the largest processing time job, the EDD rule is used to solve the two subproblems generated for each value of  $k$ ; the largest processing time job is then placed in the position inducing the best cost function value.

DEC/(MDD-PSK-WI): as above but applies MDD (or PSK or WI) instead of EDD.

**Proposition 4.**  $r_{\text{DEC/EDD}} \geq n/2$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following example denoted by  $E_5$ : set  $n = 2m+1$  and consider  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m+1\}$ ,  $p_1 = m - \varepsilon$ ,  $p_2 = \dots = p_{m+1} = 1$ ,  $p_{m+2} = \dots = p_{2m+1} = \varepsilon$ ,  $d_1 = m$ ,  $d_i = m + i - 1$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, m$ ,  $d_{m+1} = 2m - 1$ ,  $d_i = 2m - 1 + \varepsilon$ , for  $i = m+2, \dots, 2m+1$ .

We have  $S_{\text{EDD}} = (1, \dots, 2m+1)$ . Job 1 is the largest processing time job. The completion time  $C_1(r)$  for job 1 in position  $r$  is

$$C_1(r) = \begin{cases} m+r-1-\varepsilon & r=1, \dots, m+1 \\ 2m+(r-m-2)\varepsilon & r=m+2, \dots, 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Positions  $r = 2, \dots, m+1$  are eliminated by  $d_r + p_r > C_1(r)$ ; positions  $r = m+2, \dots, 2m$  are eliminated by  $C_1(r) > d_{r+1}$ . Job 1 can thus be placed in positions 1 and  $2m+1$  inducing sequences  $\mu = (1, \dots, 2m+1)$  and  $\theta = (2, \dots, 2m+1, 1)$ . We get  $T(N, \mu) = (1-\varepsilon) + \varepsilon m(m-1)/2 - (m-2)\varepsilon + (m+1)$  and  $T(N, \theta) = m + (m-2)\varepsilon$ . Hence,  $T(N, \mu) > T(N, \theta)$  and position  $2m+1$  is selected for job 1 with tardiness  $m + (m-2)\varepsilon$ . Then, sequence  $(2, \dots, 2m)$  is entirely early and the jobs can be scheduled as they are. So,  $S_{\text{DEC/EDD}} = \theta$ . Besides, the optimal sequence is  $S^* = (1, m+2, \dots, 2m+1, 2, \dots, m+1)$  with  $T(N, S^*) = 1 + m(m-1)\varepsilon$ . Hence for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $r_{\text{DEC/EDD}}(N, S_{\text{DEC/EDD}}) = (m + (m-2)\varepsilon)/(1 + m(m-1)\varepsilon) \approx m \approx n/2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.**  $r_{\text{DEC/MDD}} = r_{\text{DEC/PSK}} = r_{\text{DEC/WI}} \geq n/3$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following example  $E_6$ : set  $n = 3m/2$  and assume  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, 3m/2\}$ , with  $p_1 = m^2$ ,  $p_i = 2m$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, m/2$ ,  $p_j = 2$ , for  $j = (m/2) + 1, \dots, 3m/2$ ,  $d_1 = m^2$ ,  $d_i = m^2 + 2(i-1)m$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, (m/2) - 1$ ,  $d_{m/2} = 2m^2 - 2m - \varepsilon$ ,  $d_j = 2m^2 - 2m + \varepsilon$ , for  $j = (m/2) + 1, \dots, 3m/2$ .

Job 1 has the largest processing time and can be placed in positions 1 and  $3m/2$  only. If it is placed in position 1, its tardiness is 0 and the MDD rule induces sequence  $(1, 2, \dots, 3m/2)$  with value  $m(m+1) - (m-1)\varepsilon = m^2 + m - (m-1)\varepsilon$ . If, on the other hand, it is placed in the last position, its tardiness is  $m^2$  but all the other jobs are early and the total tardiness remains  $m^2$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, the last position is selected. In all,  $S_{\text{DEC/MDD}} = (2, \dots, 3m/2, 1)$  and  $T(N, S_{\text{DEC/MDD}}) = m^2$ . However, the optimal sequence for this example is  $S^* = (1, 2, \dots, (m/2)-1, (m/2)+1, \dots, 3m/2, m/2)$  with value  $2m + \varepsilon$ . Recalling that  $n = 3m/2$ , we have  $r_{\text{DEC/MDD}}(N, S) = m^2/(2m + \varepsilon) \approx m/2 = n/3$ . Analogously to Proposition 1, we have that both DEC/PSK and DEC/WI reach the same result as DEC/MDD in example  $E_7$ , and this completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusions

In this note we have provided lower bounds for the approximation ratios of the leading heuristics for the  $1||\sum T_j$  problem. Though no upper bounds have been simultaneously derived, we have shown that there is no hope of constant approximation ratio for all known constructive heuristics, nor for the decomposition ones. For this latter category, even if the lower bounds obtained are slightly better than the corresponding bounds of the former category, their approximation ratios depend linearly on the problem size. Notice that, as far as examples  $E_5$  and  $E_6$  are concerned, the same bounds are obtained even if the improved elimination rules of [15] and the double decomposition scheme of [16] are embedded in the considered decomposition heuristics.

## References

- [1] J. Du and J. Y. T. Leung (1990), “Minimizing total tardiness on one machine is NP-hard”, *Mathematics of Operations Research* 15, 483–495.
- [2] W. Szwarc, A. Grosso and F. Della Croce (2001), “Algorithmic paradoxes of the single machine total tardiness problem”, *Journal of Scheduling* 4, 93–104.
- [3] E. L. Lawler (1982), “A fully polynomial approximation scheme for the total tardiness problem”, *Operations Research Letters* 1, 207–208.
- [4] K. R. Baker and J. W. M. Bertrand (1982), “A dynamic priority rule for scheduling against due dates”, *Journal of Operations Management* 3, 37–42.
- [5] D. C. Carroll (1965), “Heuristic sequencing of single and multiple components”, Ph.D. Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
- [6] J. E. Holsenback and R. M. Russell (1992), “A heuristic algorithm for sequencing on one machine to minimize total tardiness”, *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 43, 53–62.
- [7] T. E. Morton, R. M. Rachamadugu and A. Vepsalainen (1984), “Accurate myopic heuristics for tardiness scheduling”, GSIA Working Paper 36-83-84, Carnegie Mellon University, PA.
- [8] S. S. Panwalkar, M. L. Smith and C. P. Koulamas (1993), “A heuristic for the single machine tardiness problem”, *European Journal of Operational Research* 70, 304–310.
- [9] C. N. Potts and L. N. Van Wassenhove (1991), “Single machine tardiness sequencing heuristics”, *IIE Transactions* 23, 93–108.
- [10] L. J. Wilkerson and J.D. Irwin (1971), “An improved algorithm for scheduling independent tasks”, *AIEE Transactions* 83, 239–245.
- [11] H. Emmons (1969), “One-machine sequencing to minimize certain functions of job tardiness”, *Operations Research* 17, 701–715.
- [12] E. L. Lawler (1977), “A pseudopolynomial algorithm for sequencing jobs to minimize total tardiness”, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* 1, 331–342.
- [13] C. N. Potts and L. N. Van Wassenhove (1982), “A decomposition algorithm for the single machine total tardiness problem”, *Operations Research Letters* 5, 177–181.
- [14] W. Szwarc (1993), “Single machine total tardiness problem revisited”, Y. Ijiri (ed.), *Creative and Innovative Approaches to the Science of Management*, Quorum Books, 407–419.

- [15] S. Chang, Q. Lu, G. Tang and W. Yu (1995), “On decomposition of the total tardiness problem”, *Operations Research Letters* 17, 221–229.
- [16] F. Della Croce, R. Tadei, P. Baracco and A. Grosso (1998), “A new decomposition approach for the single machine total tardiness scheduling problem”, *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 49, 1101–1106.
- [17] W. Szwarz and S. Mukhopadhyay (1996), “Decomposition of the single machine total tardiness problem”, *Operations research Letters* 19, 243–250.
- [18] B. Alidaee and S. Gopalan (1997), “A note on the equivalence of two heuristics to minimize total tardiness”, *European Journal of Operational Research* 96, 514–517.
- [19] T. C. Cheng (1992), “A note on the equivalence of the Wilkerson-Irwin and Modified Due-Date rules for the mean tardiness sequencing problem”, *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 22, 63–66.
- [20] C. P. Koulamas (1994), “The total tardiness problem: review and extensions”, *Operations Research* 42, 1025–1041.