

CAHIER DU LAMSADE

215

Novembre 2003

A simple approximation algorithm for WIS based on the
approximability in K -partite graphs

Jérôme Monnot

A simple approximation algorithm for WIS based on the approximability in k -partite graphs

Jérôme Monnot*

Résumé

Dans cette note, nous montrons comment une solution optimale ou une solution approchée du stable pondéré dans les graphes k -partis peut permettre de récupérer une bonne solution approchée du stable pondéré dans les graphes généraux. Plus précisément, un stable pondéré optimal dans les graphes bipartis nous permet d'obtenir une $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation et, plus généralement, une ρ solution approchée dans les graphes k -partis fournit une $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ solution approchée dans les graphes généraux.

Mots-clefs : Algorithme approché, coloration, stable pondéré, graphe k -parti.

Abstract

In this note, we show how optimal or approximate weighted independent sets in k -partite graphs may yield to a good approximate weighted independent set in general graphs. Precisely, optimal solutions in bipartite graphs do yield to a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and, more generally, ρ -approximate solutions in k -partite graphs yield to a $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ -approximation in general graphs.

Key words : Approximation algorithms, Coloring, Weighted Independent Set, k -partite graphs.

* LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, 75775 Paris cedex 16, France.
{monnot}@lamsade.dauphine.fr

1 Introduction

In the *Maximum Weighted Independent Set problem* (WIS, for short), we are given a simple, connected, undirected and loop-free graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices, with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Each vertex v in V is labelled with a positive weight $w(v) \geq 0$. For a subset $S \subseteq V$ of vertices, we denote by $w(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ the sum of the weights of the elements in S . The goal of WIS is to find an independent set S (that is a subset of pairwise non-adjacent vertices) in G that maximizes $w(S)$. When the weight of each vertex is equal to one, this problem is usually called *Maximum Independent Set problem* (IS, for short).

WIS is known to be **NP-hard** in general graphs, but also for certain classes of graphs (see Garey and Johnson [5]). On the other hand, for a variety of graphs from both practical and theoretical frameworks, which includes the Perfect graphs family, this problem is polynomial, Grotschel et al. [6]. Very recently, Alekseev and Lozin, [1] provided a complete characterization of the (p, q) -colorable graphs for which WIS is polynomial, where we recall that a graph is (p, q) -colorable if it can be partitioned into at most p cliques and q independent sets. The main result states that WIS is polynomial on (p, q) -colorable graphs if and only if $q \leq 2$ (under the assumption $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$). In particular, since a bipartite graph is a perfect graph as well as a $(0, 2)$ -colorable graph, then the Maximum Weighted Independent Set problem is polynomial on bipartite graphs.

Because WIS is one of the most important problem from both a practical and a theoretical point of view, many approximation results have been found out by several authors (see, for instance Hochbaum [9], Halldórsson and Lau [7] for performance ratio only depending on $\Delta(G)$, Halldórsson [8], Halldórsson and Lau [7] and Demange and Paschos [4]). Very recently, Sakai et al. [13] studied the behavior of several greedy strategies on WIS. In particular, they proved that one of these greedy algorithms is a $\frac{1}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and that this ratio is tight. This algorithm selects, as long as the current graph G_i is not empty, a vertex v maximizing $\frac{w(v)}{d_{G_i}(v)+1}$ (where $d_{G_i}(v)$ is the degree of v in the current graph G_i), and then deletes v and its neighborhood from the current graph.

Results of this paper. In this paper, we show how an optimum weighted independent set in bipartite graphs and a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs respectively allows to obtain a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and a $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ -approximation in general graphs. In order to build a k -partite graph from a given graph, we use the notion of coloring, that is a partition of the vertices into independent sets (see, Paschos [12], for a survey on the approximability of the coloring problem). Already in the past, Hochbaum [9], exploits this notion of coloring to obtain a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation of WIS, but in a complete different way. The algorithm of [9] is based on a preprocessing due to Nemhauser and Trotter [11] which provides two disjoint subgraphs, including an independent set; it then computes

on the other subgraph a coloring from which it selects the best independent set which is finally added to the first subgraph. More recently, Halldórsson and Lau [7] proposed an elegant algorithm which consists in partitioning G into at most $\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{3} \rceil$ subgraphs G_i of degree at most 2; then, for each G_i , an optimum weighted independent set in G_i is computed and finally, the best of these solutions is returned. The performance ratio of this algorithm is $1/\lceil (\Delta(G) + 1)/3 \rceil$, that is better than $2/\Delta(G)$ as soon as $\Delta(G) \geq 7$ or $\Delta(G) = 5$. Our algorithm computes, for every k -partite graph built on the coloring, an optimum or an approximate solution; it then returns the best one as a solution of the initial problem.

2 The Algorithm

In the first algorithm, we only use the notion of bipartite graph.

Algorithm 1

- 1 Find a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ by using a polynomial-time algorithm A ;
 - 2 For any $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ do
 - 2.1 Find an optimal independent set $S_{i,j}$ of bipartite graph induced by $S_i \cup S_j$;
 - 3 Return $S = \operatorname{argmax}\{w(S_{i,j}) : 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$;
-

This algorithm is trivially polynomial since we apply at most $O(\ell^2)$ times a polynomial procedure.

Theorem 2.1 *Algorithm 1 is a $\frac{2}{\ell}$ -approximation for WIS in general graphs.*

Proof. Let $I = (G, w)$ be an instance of WIS and let S^* be an optimal solution with value $\operatorname{opt}(I) = w(S^*)$. We set $S_i^* = S^* \cap S_i$ for $i \leq \ell$ where $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ is the coloring provided by algorithm A .

For any i, j with $j > i$, the following key result holds:

$$w(S) \geq w(S_i^*) + w(S_j^*) \tag{1}$$

Let us explain why this result is true: on the one hand, the set $S_i^* \cup S_j^*$ is an independent set of the bipartite graph induced by $S_i \cup S_j$ and, on the other hand, by construction of the

algorithm, $S_{i,j}$ is an optimal solution of this bipartite graph. Thus, since $w(S) \geq w(S_{i,j})$, we deduce the expected result.

Summing the inequalities (1) for $i = 1$ to $i = \ell - 1$ and $j = i + 1$ to $j = \ell$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} (w(S_i^*) + w(S_j^*)) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} (j - 1) w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq (\ell - 1) w(S_1^*) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} (i - 1) w(S_i^*) + (\ell - 1) w(S_{\ell}^*) \\
&\geq (\ell - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*) = w(S^*)$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S) = \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} w(S)$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} w(S) \geq (\ell-1) \text{opt}(I) \tag{2}$$

and the result follows. ■

We know that we can easily obtain a coloring using at most $\Delta(G) + 1$ colors (see Berge [2]): starting from an arbitrary coloring, pick up each vertex v with a color at least $\Delta(G) + 2$ and recolor it with a compatible color among $\{1, \dots, \Delta(G) + 1\}$ (this is always possible, since v has at most $\Delta(G)$ neighbors). Thus, using Theorem 2.1 and the inequality $\ell \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, we deduce:

Corollary 2.2 *WIS is $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)+1}$ -approximable and this ratio is tight.*

Proof. We show that this ratio is tight, even in the basis case of the maximum independent set problem (i.e., $\forall v \in V, w(v) = 1$). We consider a graph $G = (V, E)$ on $2n(\Delta + 1)$ vertices which are partitioned into a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_{\Delta+1})$ where $S_i = \{v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,2n}\}$. Moreover, there is an edge between every couple of vertices $(v_{i,k}, v_{j,n+k})$ and $(v_{i,n+k}, v_{j,k})$ where $j \neq i$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Observe that G is Δ -regular. Assume that the algorithm A produces the coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_{\Delta+1})$; then, the Algorithm 1 returns S_1 with a size $2n$ whereas an optimal solution is given by the set $\{v_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq \Delta + 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ with a size $(\Delta + 1)n$. ■

Remark that this instance also allows us to prove that even a more sophisticated algorithm has no better performance. In this algorithm, we first apply Algorithm 1 and then compute the best weighted independent set on every bipartite graph induced

by $S_{i_1, j_1} \cup S_{i_2, j_2}$, where the sets S_{i_1, j_1} and S_{i_2, j_2} describe the optimal independent sets produced by Algorithm 1.

We can slightly improve this bound to $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$, by using the Brook's theorem, [3] and the constructive proof of Lovasz [10].

Corollary 2.3 *WIS is $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximable, when G is $K_{\Delta(G)+1}$ -free.*

One way to improve this bound is to consider k -partite graphs instead of bipartite graphs, where k is a universal constant. Thus, the new algorithm consists in modifying the step 2.1 by finding an optimal independent set S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} on the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ for each $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Some algebra shows that this algorithm is a $\frac{k}{\ell}$ -approximation for WIS. Unfortunately, such an algorithm does not run in polynomial time (even for $k = 3$), since computing an optimal independent set in k -partite graphs is **NP-hard** (see the characterization of the complexity of WIS in (p, q) -colorable graphs in [1]). In those circumstances, we replace an optimal solution by an approximate solution, and the whole algorithm writes now:

Algorithm 2

- 1 Find a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ by using a polynomial-time algorithm A ;
 - 2 For any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$ do
 - 2.1 Find an approximate independent set S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} of the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ using an algorithm B ;
 - 3 Return $S = \operatorname{argmax}\{w(S_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell\}$;
-

This algorithm is polynomial as soon as the algorithm B runs in polynomial time (k being a constant not depending on the instance size).

Theorem 2.4 *If algorithm B is a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs, then Algorithm 2 is an $\frac{k}{\ell}\rho$ -approximation for WIS in general graphs.*

Proof. Let B be an algorithm which yields to a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs, and let S^* be an optimal solution on a given graph G . As previously, we set $S_i^* = S^* \cap S_i$ for $i \leq \ell$ where $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ is the coloring provided by the algorithm A .

The inequality 1 becomes: for any i_1, \dots, i_k with $i_k > \dots > i_1$, we have

$$w(S) \geq \rho \sum_{j=1}^k w(S_{i_j}^*) \quad (3)$$

In order to see that, just remark that $S_{i_1}^* \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}^*$ is a feasible independent set on the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ (we denoted by G' , this graph); since S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} is a ρ -approximation on G' , we get $w(S_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) \geq \rho \text{opt}(G') \geq \rho(w(S_{i_1}^*) + \dots + w(S_{i_k}^*))$.

Summing up inequalities (3) for all i_1, \dots, i_k such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\ell(\ell-1)\dots(\ell-k+1)}{k(k-1)\dots 2} w(S) \geq \rho \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-1)\dots(\ell-k+1)}{(k-1)\dots 2} w(S_i^*) \quad (4)$$

Actually, when summing the inequalities (3), the term $w(S)$ appears exactly as many times as the number of choices of k elements among ℓ , and each $w(S_i^*)$ appears as many times as the number of choices of $k-1$ elements among $\ell-1$. Finally, since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*) = w(S^*)$, the result follows. ■

This theorem becomes interesting when having some good bounds of the approximability of WIS in k -partite graphs. For instance, using Theorem 2.1, we obtain the bound $\rho = \frac{2}{3}$ for tripartite graphs; unfortunately, this does not allow to improve the bound of Theorem 2.1. Thus, we aim at strictly improving this bound of $\frac{2}{3}$ in order to improve the best performance ratio of $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ or $1/\lceil(\Delta(G)+1)/3\rceil$ when $\Delta(G)$ is small (i.e., $\Delta(G) = 3, 4, 6$).

Références

- [1] V. E. ALEKSEEV AND V. V. LOZIN [2003]. Independent sets of maximum weight in (p, q) -colorable graphs. *Discrete Math.*, (in press).
- [2] C. BERGE [1973]. *Graphs and hypergraphs*. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- [3] R. L. BROOKS [1941]. On colouring the nodes of a network. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 37, 194–197.
- [4] M. DEMANGE AND V. PASCHOS [2004]. Improved approximations for weighted and unweighted graph problems. *Theory of Comp. Sys.*, (To appear)
- [5] M. R. GAREY AND D. S. JOHNSON [1979]. *Computers and intractability. A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco.

- [6] M. GROTSCHEL AND L. LOVASZ AND A. SCHRIJVER [1984]. Polynomial algorithms for perfect graphs. *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 21, 325–356.
- [7] M. HALLDÓRSSON AND H. C. LAU [1997]. Low-degree graph partitioning via local search with applications to constraint satisfaction, max cut, and 3-coloring. *J. Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 1, 1–13.
- [8] M. HALLDÓRSSON [2000]. Approximations of Weighted Independent Set and Hereditary Subset Problems. *J. Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 4, 1–16.
- [9] D. S. HOCHBAUM [1983]. Efficient bounds for the stable set, vertex cover and set packing problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 6(3), 243–254.
- [10] L. LOVASZ [1975]. Three short proofs in graph theory. *J. Combin. Theory*, 19, 269–271.
- [11] G. L. NEMHAUSER AND L. E. TROTTER [1975]. Vertex packing: structural properties and algorithms. *Mathematical Programming*, 8, 232–248.
- [12] V. TH. PASCHOS [2003]. Polynomial Approximation and Graph-Coloring. *Computing*, 70(1), 41–86.
- [13] S. SAKAI AND M. TOGASAKI AND K. YAMAZAKI [2003]. A note on greedy algorithms for the maximum weighted independent set problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 126, 313–322.