

| | $\{1,2\}\succ_1\{1\}\succ_1\{1,2,3\}\succ_1\{1,3\}$ |
|----------------------------|--|
| | $\{1,2\}\succ_2\{2\}\succ_2\{1,2,3\}\succ_2\{2,3\}$ |
| | $\{1,2,3\} \succ_3 \{2,3\} \succ_3 \{1,3\} \succ_3 \{3\}$ |
| | in the core and is individually stable. Nash stable partitions. |
| $\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$ | {1,2} is preferred by both agent 1 and 2, hence not NS, not IS. |
| {{1,2},{3}} | {1,2,3} is preferred by agent 3, so it is not NS, as agents 1 and 3 are worse off, it is not a possible move for IS. no other move is possible for IS. |
| | agent 1 prefers to be on its own (not NS, then, not IS). |
| {{1,3},{2}} | |
| {{1,3},{2}} {{2,3},{1}} | agent 2 prefers to join agent 1, and agent 1 is better off, hence not NS, not IS. |

Stéphane Airiau (ILLC) - Cooperative Games

Lecture 10: Challenging the transferable utility assumption 5

Example 3

 $\{1,2\} \succ_1 \{1,3\} \succ_1 \{1\} \succ_1 \{1,2,3\} \\ \{2,3\} \succ_2 \{1,2\} \succ_2 \{2\} \succ_2 \{1,2,3\}$

 $\{1,3\} \succ_3 \{2,3\} \succ_3 \{3\} \succ_3 \{1,2,3\}$

The core is empty (similar argument as for example 2).

There is no Nash stable partition or individually stable partition. But there are three contractually individually stable CSs: $\{\{1,2\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\},\{\{2,3\},\{1\}\}\}$.

For {{1,2},{3}}:

- {{1},(2,3}}: agents 2 and 3 benefit, hence {{1,2},{3}} is not Nash or individually stable. however, agent 1 is worse off, hence not a possible move for CIS.
- {{2},{1,3}}: agent 1 has no incentive to join agent 3.
- {{1},{2},{3}}: neither agent 1 or 2 has any incentive to form a singleton coalition.

Example 2 $\{1,2\}\succ_1\{1,3\}\succ_1\{1,2,3\}\succ_1\{1\}$ $\{2,3\}\succ_2\{1,2\}\succ_2\{1,2,3\}\succ_2\{2\}$ $\{1,3\}\succ_3\{2,3\}\succ_3\{1,2,3\}\succ_3\{3\}$ The core is empty $\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$ {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3} and {1,2,3} are blocking $\{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}$ {2,3} is blocking $\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\}$ {1,2} is blocking {1,3} is blocking {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3} are blocking {{2,3},{1}} {{1,2,3}} $\{\!\{1,2,3\}\!\}$ is the unique Nash stable partition, unique individually stable partition (no agent has any incentive to leave the grand coalition). Stéphane Airiau (ILLC) - Cooperative Gau Lecture 10: Challenging the transferable utility assumption 6

Nash stability \Rightarrow Individual stability \Rightarrow contractual individual stability

Core stability \Rightarrow Nash stability \Rightarrow Core stability

Core stability ⇒ Individual stability

Some classes of games have a non-empty core, other classes have Nash stable coalition structures

A. Bogomolnaia and M.O. Jackson, *The stability of hedonic coalition structure*. Games and Economic Behavior, 2002.

A representation for hedonic games have been proposed, and is based on MC-nets.

E. Elkind and M. Wooldridge, Hedonic Coalition Nets, in Proc. of 8th Int. Conf. on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS), 2009



