

Cooperative games: lecture 3

Stéphane Airiau

Let N be the set of customers, and let 0 be the supplier. Let $N_* = N \cup \{0\}$. for $(i, j) \in N_*^2, i \neq j$, let $c_{i,j}$ be the cost of connecting i and j by the edge e_{ij} . Let (N, c) be the corresponding cost game, which is called a minimum cost spanning tree game ((MCST) game).

Theorem 1. *Every minimum cost spanning tree game has a non-empty core.*

Proof. Let us define a cost distribution x and then we will show that x is in the core.

Let $T = (N, E_N)$ a minimum cost spanning tree for the graph $(N_*, c_{\{ij\} \subseteq N_*^2})$. Let i be a customer. Since T is a tree, there is a unique path $(0, a_1, \dots, a_k, i)$ from 0 to i . The cost paid by agent i is defined by $x_i = c_{a_k, i}$.

This cost allocation is efficient by construction of x .

We need to show the cost allocation is group rational, i.e. for all coalition S , we have $x(S) \leq v(S)$ (it is a cost, which explains the inequality). Let $S \subset N$ and $T_S = (S \cup \{0\}, E_s)$ be a minimum cost spanning tree of the graph $(S \cup \{0\}, c_{\{ij\} \in S \cup \{0\}})$. Let extend the tree T_S to a graph $T_S^+ = (N_*, E_N^+)$ by adding the remaining customers $N \setminus S$, and for each customer $i \in N \setminus S$, we add the edge of E_N ending in i , i.e., we add the edge (a_k, i) . The graph T_S^+ has $|S| + |N \setminus S|$ edges and is connected. Hence, T_S^+ is a spanning tree. Now, we note that $c(S) + x(N \setminus S) = \sum_{e_{ij} \in E_N^+} c_{ij} \geq \sum_{e_{ij} \in E_N} c_{ij} = c(N) = x(N)$. The inequality is due to the fact that T_S^+ is a spanning tree, and T is a minimum spanning tree. It follows that $x(S) \leq v(S)$. ✓ □

A **market** is a quadruple (N, M, A, F) where

- N is a set of traders
- M is a set of m continuous good
- $A = (a_i)_{i \in N}$ is the initial endowment vector
- $F = (f_i)_{i \in N}$ is the valuation function vector
- $v(S) = \max \left\{ \sum_{i \in S} f_i(x_i) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \sum_{i \in S} x_i = \sum_{i \in S} a_i \right\}$
- we further assume that the f_i are continuous and concave.

Theorem 2. *Every Market Game is balanced*

Proof. $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave iff $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n, f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$. It follows from this definition that for $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, we have $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i)$.

Since the f_i s are continuous, $\sum_{i \in S} f_i(x_i)$ is a continuous mapping from $\{(x_i)_{i \in S} \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{R}_+^k, \forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^k, \sum_{i \in S} x_i = \sum_{i \in S} a_i\}$ to \mathbb{R} , hence, the maximum exists.

For a coalition $S \subseteq N$, let $x^S = \langle x_1^S, \dots, x_n^S \rangle$ be the endowment that achieves the maximum value for the coalition S , i.e., $v(S) = \sum_{i \in S} f_i(x_i^S)$. In other words, the members of S have made some trades that have improved the value of the coalition S up to its maximal value.

Let λ be a balanced map. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ defined as follows: $y_i = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S x_i^S$ where \mathcal{C}_i is the set of coalitions that contains agent i .

First, note that y is a feasible payoff function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in N} y_i &= \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S x_i^S = \sum_{S \subseteq N} \sum_{i \in S} \lambda_S x_i^S = \sum_{S \subseteq N} \lambda_S \sum_{i \in S} x_i^S \\
 &= \sum_{S \subseteq N} \lambda_S \sum_{i \in S} a_i \text{ since } x_i^S \text{ was achieved by a sequence of trades within the members of } S \\
 &= \sum_{i \in N} a_i \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S \\
 &= \sum_{i \in N} a_i \text{ as } \lambda \text{ is balanced, (i.e., the sum of the weights over all coalitions of one agent sums up to 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

then, by definition of v , we have $v(N) \geq \sum_{i \in N} f_i(y_i)$. ✓

The f_i are concave and since $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S = 1$, we have $f_i(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S x_i^S) \geq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S f_i(x_i^S)$. It follows:

$v(N) \geq \sum_{i \in N} f_i(y_i) \geq \sum_{i \in N} f_i(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S x_i^S) \geq \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}_i} \lambda_S f_i(x_i^S) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} \lambda_S \sum_{i \in S} f_i(x_i^S) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} \lambda_S v(S)$. This inequality proves that the game is balanced. ✓ □