Deep Reinforcement Learning

9: Advanced Policy Gradients

Eric Benhamou David Saltiel

Acknowledgement

These materials are based on the seminal course of Sergey Levine CS285

Recap: policy gradients

REINFORCE algorithm:

1. sample $\{\tau^i\}$ from $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ (run the policy)
2. $\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \sum_i \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t^i|\mathbf{s}_t^i) \left(\sum_{t'=t}^T r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}^i, \mathbf{a}_{t'}^i) \right) \right)$
3. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$

$$\hat{Q}^\pi(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = \sum_{t'=t}^T r(\mathbf{x}_{t'}, \mathbf{u}_{t'})$$

generate samples (i.e. run the policy)

fit a model to estimate return

improve the policy

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \hat{Q}_{i,t}^\pi$$

"reward to go"

can also use function approximation here

Why does policy gradient work?

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_{i,t}|\mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \hat{A}_{i,t}^\pi$$

$\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{u}_t)$

1. Estimate $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ for current policy π

2. Use $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ to get *improved* policy π'

look familiar?

policy iteration algorithm:

1. evaluate $A^\pi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

2. set $\pi \leftarrow \pi'$

fit a model to estimate return

generate samples (i.e. run the policy)

improve the policy

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

Policy gradient as policy iteration

$$J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_\theta(\tau)} \left[\sum_t \gamma^t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) = J(\theta') - E_{\mathbf{s}_0 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_0)} \left[V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_0) \right]$$

$$= J(\theta') - E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_0) \right]$$

claim: $J(\theta') - J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$

$$= J(\theta') - E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t) \right]$$

$$= J(\theta') + E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (\gamma V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$$

$$= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] + E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (\gamma V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$$

$$= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \gamma V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$$

$$= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

Policy gradient as policy iteration

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

expectation under $\pi_{\theta'}$ advantage under π_θ

$$E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[\sum_t \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] = \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

$$= \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

is it OK to use $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ instead?

Ignoring distribution mismatch?

$$\sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right] \overset{?}{\approx} \underbrace{\sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]}_{\bar{A}(\theta')}$$

why do we want this to be true?

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) \approx \bar{A}(\theta') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \bar{A}(\theta)$$

2. Use $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ to get *improved* policy π'

is it true? and when?

Claim: $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ is *close* to $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ when π_θ is *close* to $\pi_{\theta'}$

Bounding the Distribution Change

Ignoring distribution mismatch?

$$\sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right] \approx \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

$$\underbrace{}_{\bar{A}(\theta')}$$

why do we want this to be true?

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) \approx \bar{A}(\theta') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \bar{A}(\theta)$$

2. Use $\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ to get *improved* policy π'

is it true? and when?

Claim: $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ is *close* to $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ when π_θ is *close* to $\pi_{\theta'}$

Bounding the distribution change

Claim: $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ is *close* to $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ when π_θ is *close* to $\pi_{\theta'}$

Simple case: assume π_θ is a *deterministic* policy $\mathbf{a}_t = \pi_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$

$\pi_{\theta'}$ is *close* to π_θ if $\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t \neq \pi_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)|\mathbf{s}_t) \leq \epsilon$

$$p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) = \underbrace{(1-\epsilon)^t}_{} p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t) + \underbrace{(1-(1-\epsilon)^t))p_{\text{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t)}_{}$$

seem familiar?

  probability we made no mistakes   some *other* distribution

$$|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| = (1-(1-\epsilon)^t)|p_{\text{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq 2(1-(1-\epsilon)^t)$$

useful identity: $(1-\epsilon)^t \geq 1 - \epsilon t$ for $\epsilon \in [0,1]$

$$\leq 2\epsilon t$$

not a great bound, but a bound!

Bounding the distribution change

Claim: $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ is *close* to $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ when π_θ is *close* to $\pi_{\theta'}$

General case: assume π_θ is an arbitrary distribution

$\pi_{\theta'}$ is *close* to π_θ if $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \le \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{s}_t$

Useful lemma: if $|p_X(x) - p_Y(x)| = \epsilon$, exists $p(x,y)$ such that $p(x) = p_X(x)$ and $p(y) = p_Y(y)$ and $p(x = y) = 1 - \epsilon$

$\qquad \Rightarrow p_X(x)$ "agrees" with $p_Y(y)$ with probability ϵ

$\qquad \Rightarrow \pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ takes a different action than $\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ with probability at most ϵ

$$|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| = (1 - (1-\epsilon)^t)|p_{\mathrm{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| \le 2(1 - (1-\epsilon)^t)$$

$$\le 2\epsilon t$$

Proof based on: Schulman, Levine, Moritz, Jordan, Abbeel. "Trust Region Policy Optimization."

Bounding the objective value

$\pi_{\theta'}$ is *close* to π_θ if $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{s}_t$

$$|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq 2\epsilon t$$

$$E_{p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)}[f(\mathbf{s}_t)] = \sum_{\mathbf{s}_t} p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)f(\mathbf{s}_t) \geq \sum_{\mathbf{s}_t} p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)f(\mathbf{s}_t) - |p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)| \max_{\mathbf{s}_t} f(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$\geq E_{p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)}[f(\mathbf{s}_t)] - 2\epsilon t \max_{\mathbf{s}_t} f(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$\sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}\gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right]\right] \geq$$

$O(Tr_{\max})$ or $O\left(\frac{r_{\max}}{1-\gamma}\right)$

$$\sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}\gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right]\right] - \sum_t 2\epsilon t C$$

maximizing this maximizes a bound on the thing we want!

Where are we at so far?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

such that $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq \epsilon$

for small enough ϵ, this is guaranteed to improve $J(\theta') - J(\theta)$

Policy Gradients with Constraints

A more convenient bound

Claim: $p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)$ is *close* to $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ when π_θ is *close* to $\pi_{\theta'}$

$\pi_{\theta'}$ is *close* to π_θ if $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \le \epsilon$ for all $\mathbf{s}_t$

$$|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)| \le 2\epsilon t$$

a more convenient bound: $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \le \sqrt{\dfrac{1}{2}D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))}$

$\Rightarrow$ $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))$ bounds state marginal difference

$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(p_1(x)\|p_2(x)) = E_{x \sim p_1(x)}\left[\log \dfrac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}\right]$

KL divergence has some very convenient properties that
make it much easier to approximate!

How do we optimize the objective?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \| \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

for small enough ϵ, this is guaranteed to improve $J(\theta') - J(\theta)$

How do we enforce the constraint?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta', \lambda) = \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right] - \lambda(D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) - \epsilon)$$

1. Maximize $\mathcal{L}(\theta', \lambda)$ with respect to θ' ⟵ **can do this incompletely (for a few grad steps)**

2. $\lambda \leftarrow \lambda + \alpha(D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) - \epsilon)$

Intuition: raise λ if constraint violated too much, else lower it

an instance of *dual gradient descent* (more on this later!)

Natural Gradient

How (else) do we optimize the objective?

$$\overbrace{\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]}^{\bar{A}(\theta')}$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

for small enough ϵ, this is guaranteed to improve $J(\theta') - J(\theta)$

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta \bar{A}(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

**Use first order Taylor approximation for objective (a.k.a., linearization)**

How do we optimize the objective?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \| \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta \bar{A}(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \| \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

$$\nabla_{\theta'} \bar{A}(\theta') = \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \gamma^t \nabla_{\theta'} \log \pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

(see policy gradient lecture for derivation)

$$\nabla_\theta \bar{A}(\theta) = \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\frac{\cancel{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}}{\cancel{\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}} \gamma^t \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right]$$

$$\nabla_\theta \bar{A}(\theta) = \sum_t E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} \left[\gamma^t \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) A^{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \right] = \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

exactly the normal policy gradient!

Can we just use the gradient then?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

such that $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \| \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \qquad\qquad \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$$

some parameters change probabilities a lot more than others!

Claim: gradient ascent does this:

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

such that $\|\theta - \theta'\|^2 \leq \epsilon$

$$\theta' = \theta + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\|\nabla_\theta J(\theta)\|^2}} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

Can we just use the gradient then?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

$$\text{such that } D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \| \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$$

not the same!

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

$$\text{such that } \|\theta - \theta'\|^2 \leq \epsilon$$

second order Taylor expansion

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'} \| \pi_\theta) \approx \frac{1}{2}(\theta' - \theta)^T \mathbf{F}(\theta' - \theta) \qquad \mathbf{F} = E_{\pi_\theta}[\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})^T]$$

Fisher-information matrix   can estimate with samples

Can we just use the gradient then?

$$\theta' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\theta'} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T (\theta' - \theta)$$

$$\text{such that } D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)\|\pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)) \leq \epsilon$$

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi_{\theta'}\|\pi_\theta) \approx \frac{1}{2}(\theta' - \theta)^T \mathbf{F}(\theta' - \theta)$$

$$\theta' = \theta + \alpha \mathbf{F}^{-1} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

natural gradient

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{\nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T \mathbf{F} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)}}$$

Is this even a problem in practice?

$$r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = -\mathbf{s}_t^2 - \mathbf{a}_t^2$$

$$\log \pi_\theta(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(k\mathbf{s}_t - \mathbf{a}_t)^2 + \text{const}$$

$$\theta = (k, \sigma)$$

(a)'Vanilla' policy gradients

(image from Peters & Schaal 2008)

(a)'Vanilla' policy gradients   (b) Natural policy gradients

Essentially the same problem as this:

(figure from Peters & Schaal 2008)

Practical methods and notes

- ## Natural policy gradient

    $$\theta' = \theta + \alpha \mathbf{F}^{-1} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$

    - Generally a good choice to stabilize policy gradient training
    - See this paper for details:
        - Peters, Schaal. Reinforcement learning of motor skills with policy gradients.
    - Practical implementation: requires efficient Fisher-vector products, a bit non-trivial to do without computing the full matrix
        - See: Schulman et al. Trust region policy optimization

- ## Trust region policy optimization

    $$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{\nabla_\theta J(\theta)^T \mathbf{F} \nabla_\theta J(\theta)}}$$

- ## Just use the IS objective directly
    - Use regularization to stay close to old policy
    - See: Proximal policy optimization

Review

- Policy gradient = policy iteration

- Optimize advantage under new policy state distribution

- Using old policy state distribution optimizes a bound, *if* the policies are close enough

- Results in *constrained* optimization problem

- First order approximation to objective = gradient ascent

- Regular gradient ascent has the wrong constraint, use natural gradient

- Practical algorithms
  - Natural policy gradient
  - Trust region policy optimization

$$\hat{A}^\pi(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{u}_t)$$

fit a model to estimate return

generate samples (i.e. run the policy)

improve the policy

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_\theta J(\theta)$$