



VOTING RULES: EXERCISES

## Exercise 1

We consider the following profile (9 voters and 4 candidates) where the preferences of the last voter are unknown:

4 voters:  $c \succ d \succ a \succ b$ 2 voters:  $a \succ b \succ d \succ c$ 2 voters:  $b \succ a \succ c \succ d$ 1 voter:  $? \succ ? \succ ? \succ ?$ 

- 1. Do we necessarily know the preferences of the last voter, in order to determine the result of the elections in a UK system (plurality) and French system (plurality with runoff)? If yes, gives these preferences and the results of these elections.
- 2. Does the Condorcet winner exist in this election?
- 3. Which preferences the last voter should have in order to elect a as the Condorcet winner? Same question with b, c or d.

## Exercise 2

We suppose here that each voter can express his preferences through a complete pre-order on a set of candidates. Given two candidates a and b, we set  $x_{ab} = |\{i : a \succ_i b\}|$ , i.e., the number of voters which prefer strictly a to b.

A candidate a is globally (socially) preferred to a candidate b, denote by  $a \succ b$ , if  $x_{ab} - x_{ba} > 0$ . a and b are considered indifferent, denoted by  $a \sim b$ , if  $not(a \succ b)$  and  $not(b \succ a)$ .

We consider the following profile (5 voters and 4 candidates):

 $\begin{array}{lll} 1 \text{ voter}: & a \sim_1 c \succ_1 b \sim_1 d \\ 1 \text{ voter}: & a \sim_2 d \succ_2 b \succ_2 c \\ 1 \text{ voter}: & d \succ_3 c \succ_3 a \sim_3 b \\ 1 \text{ voter}: & a \succ_4 b \succ_4 d \succ_4 c \\ 1 \text{ voter}: & b \succ_5 c \succ_5 d \succ_5 a \\ \end{array}$ 

- 1. Is there a Condorcet winner? If yes, is it unique?
- 2. Is there a candidate which is never beaten?

## Exercise 3

Let X be a set of candidates (alternatives) and  $N = \{1; \ldots; n\}$  be a set of voters. A preference profile  $R = (R_1; \ldots; R_n)$  is an n-tuple containing a preference relation  $R_i$  for every voter  $i \in N$ . For a given profile  $R = (R_1; \ldots; R_n)$  and two distinct elements  $a, b \in X$ , let us define the following numbers  $n_R(a, b)$  and  $m_R(a, b)$ :

$$n_R(a,b) = \Big|\{i \in N: a \mathrel{R_i} b\}\Big| \quad ext{ and } \quad m_R(a,b) = n_R(a,b) - n_R(b,a)$$

The majority relation  $R_M$  on X is defined as follows :

$$\forall a, b \in X, \ a \ R_M \ b \ \text{ if and only if } \ m_R(a, b) \geq 0$$

We denote by  $P_M$  the strict part of  $R_M$ , i.e.,

$$\forall a,b \in X, \ a \ P_M \ b \ \ \text{if and only if} \ \ m_R(a,b) > 0$$

Let  $R_M^*$  denote the transitive closure of the majority relation, i.e., a binary relation such that for all  $a, b \in X$ ,  $a R_M^*$  b if and only if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $a_1; a_2; \ldots; a_k \in X$  with  $a_1 = a$  and  $a_k = b$  such that  $a_i R_M a_{i+1}$  for all i < k.

A Social Choice Function (SCF) is a function f that maps a preference profile R to a nonempty subset of alternatives, i.e.,  $f(R) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f(R) \subseteq X$ . We consider the following SFCs:

- Copeland (CO): The Copeland score of an alternative a,  $S_{co}(a)$ , is defined as the number of alternatives that a beats in pairwise majority comparisons, i.e.,  $S_{co}(a) = \{ |\{b \in X : a P_M b\}| \}$ . The function CO returns the alternatives with the highest Copeland score.
- Condorcet rule (COND): The function COND returns the Condorcet winner if it exists, and the set X of all alternatives otherwise.
- Borda rule (BO): The Borda score of an alternative a is defined as  $S_{BO}(a) = \sum_{b \in X \setminus \{a\}} n_R(a,b)$ . The function BO returns the alternatives with the highest Borda score.
- Top Cycle (TC): Given a profile R, the top cycle rule TC returns the maximal elements of  $R_M^*$ , i.e.,  $TC(R) = \{a \in X : a \ R_M^* \ b, \ \forall b \in X\}$

Let us consider the following profile  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}_1; \mathcal{B}_2; \mathcal{B}_3; \mathcal{B}_4; \mathcal{B}_5)$ , of five voters, on  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_1: e \succ d \succ a \succ b \succ c$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2: b \succ c \succ e \succ a \succ d$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3: b \succ c \succ e \succ a \succ d$$

$$\mathcal{B}_4: e \succ a \succ b \succ d \succ c$$

$$\mathcal{B}_5: d \succ c \succ a \succ b \succ e$$

- 1. Compute the results of the functions CO, COND, BO and TC applied to this profile  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- 2. Prove that : we always have

$$TC(R) \subseteq COND(R)$$
, for all profiles  $R$  on  $X$ 

3. Could we have  $CO(R) \subseteq BO(R)$  for all profiles R defined on X? Justify your answers.