C++ Programming

Classes, Constructors, Operator overloading

M1 Math Michail Lampis michail.lampis@dauphine.fr

Classes

- These slides demonstrate a number of C++ features related to classes:
 - Data encapsulation
 - Operator overloading
 - Constructors/Destructors and memory management
- We will use two running examples:
 - The Complex class (continued from last slide set)
 - The Vector class (on next slide set)
 - See also sections 3.2.3 and 4.1 of notes for alternative versions

A complex class

- Recall that a complex number is a + ib class Complex {
 - private: //not needed private is default
 - double a,b;
 - public: //list of methods to manipulate complex nums
 double abs();

```
...
```

Using complex numbers

. . .

Complex z1, z2; //OK z1.a = 2.2; z1.b = 3.4; //meaning z1 = 2.2 + 3.4i //ERROR!!

• Remember: a,b are **private** fields of the Complex class. They cannot be read/written from outside the methods of the class

Getter/Setter functions

 Solution: define an interface (== collection of methods) to read/write the private fields of a class

class Complex {

double a,b;

public:

```
double read_a() { return a; }
double read_b() { return b; }
void set_a(double new_a) { a = new_a; }
void set_b(double new_b) { b = new_b; }
```

}

Using getter/setter functions

Complex z1,z2; z1.set_a(2.3); z1.set_b(3.7); //Now z1 = 2.3 +3.7i

. . .

cout << "z1 = " << z1.read_a() << " + i " << z1.read_b();

Getter/Setter functions

 Solution: define an interface (== collection of methods) to read/write the private fields of a class

class Complex {

double a,b;

public:

}

```
double read_a() { return a; } //What about { return this->a }; ??
double read_b() { return b; }
void set_a(double new_a) { a = new_a; }
void set_b(double new_b) { b = new_b; }
```

Why??

- It probably seems like this is a **stupid** solution
 - Why not just make a,b public?
 - Then we could simply read/write them when we want.
 More efficient and simpler
- Answer: in **object-oriented programming** we want the interface of a class to be **independent** of its implementation.
- This principle is called **data encapsulation.** This is the reason fields are by default private.

Data encapsulation

- Why is data encapsulation useful?
 - Recall: there are two ways to represent a complex number: z = a + ibor $z = r e^{(i\theta)}$ (polar coordinates)
- Suppose that you have partly written a program that uses the current Complex class (with a,b)
- Then you decide that you prefer polar coordinates (perhaps they give smaller rounding errors for some operations)
- If z1.a = 2.3 is allowed, you have to rewrite your whole program!
- Otherwise, you may just need to rewrite the get/set functions and everything else will work.

Example

- class Complex {
- //double a,b; Changed my mind!
- double r, theta;
- public:

}

```
double read_a() { return a; } //This doesn't work any more!!!
double read_b() { return b; }
void set_a(double new_a) { a = new_a; }
void set_b(double new_b) { b = new_b; }
```

Example

- class Complex {
- //double a,b; Changed my mind!
- double r, theta;
- public:

}

```
double read_a() { return r*cos(theta); }
double read_b() { return r*sin(theta); }
void set_a(double new_a) { ... }
void set_b(double new_b) { ... }
```

Operator overloading

- We now know enough to make a reasonable implementation of a Complex class
- We would probably like to have an add function Complex add(Complex z1,Complex z2)
- Wouldn't it be a lot easier if we could just write z3
 = z1+z2?
- Problem: + is generally not defined for classes
- But we can define it!

Overloading

- Overloading is when we use the same function name/symbol to refer to several different operations.
- C++ understands what we mean by the **type** of the parameters used.
- This can be done also for standard C++ operators, such as +,-,=,[],...
- We have already seen this: cout << x , vs. 5 << 2

A simple Complex class

class Complex {

double a,b;

public:

Complex(double, double);

Complex();

~Complex();

Complex operator+(Complex);

friend ostream& operator<<(ostream &, Complex);</pre>

};

Explanation

• One thing to notice in the definition of the previous class

Complex operator+(Complex);

- This is the line that allows us to redefine the + operator and replace with our own method
- Another thing to notice: We defined two methods named Complex (overloading!) and one named ~Complex. What do these do?

Constructors

- A method that has the same name as the class is a **constructor.** Such a method will be called every time a new object of the class is created
 - Automatically on the stack (via declaration)
 - With new
- If more than one exist, the appropriate one is called by looking at the number/type of parameters given

Defining a constructor

Complex::Complex(double a, double b)

```
{
    this -> a = a;
    this -> b = b;
}
...
```

Complex z1(2.2, 3.3); //Calls the constructor!

Default constructor

- If we don't define any constructor, C++ gives one for free
 - The default constructor takes no parameters and does nothing!
- If we define one constructor then the default constructor (that takes no parameters) is not defined
 - We have to write it ourselves, if we want it...

Default constructor

```
Complex::Complex()
{
    this - > a = 0.0;
    this - > b = 0.0;
}
```

Destructor

- A class method whose name is ~(class name) is a destructor.
- There is only one destructor
- It takes no parameters
- You cannot call it directly!
- Will be called automatically when an object is destroyed:
 - By going out of scope (end of function)
 - With delete operator

Example

- For this class we don't really need a destructor
 - Main use: cleaning up memory management. Do a delete for every new, etc.
- For debugging purposes, let's see how often a destructor is called.

```
Complex::~Complex()
{
    cout << "Destructor!" << a << "+i" << b << endl;
}
```

A simpler Complex class

lass Complex {

double a,b;

public:

Complex(double, double);

Complex();

~Complex();

Complex add(Complex); //Addition

friend ostream& operator<<(ostream &, Complex);

};

Exercise

- Implement the add method of the previous slide
 - The result should be the sum of the current complex number (this) and the complex number given as parameter

Solution

```
Complex Complex::add(Complex z2)
{
    Complex res(this->a+z2.a, this->b+z2.b);
     return res;
}
Complex z1(2.2,3.3);
Complex z2(4.4,5.5);
z1.add(z2); //This computes the Complex 6.6,8.8
```

Solution with overloading

Complex Complex::operator+(Complex& z2)

ł

Complex res(this->a+z2.a, this->b+z2.b); return res;

Friends

• What about this?

friend ostream& operator<<(ostream &, Complex);</pre>

- A friend method is a method that does not belong to the class but can still access its private elements.
- In this case, we define a friend method to be able to print complex numbers.
- We are also overloading the << operator!

Friend implementation

ostream& operator<<(ostream &out, Complex z)
{
 return out << z.a << " +i" << z.b;
}</pre>

A program that uses Complex

int main()

{

Complex z1(2.2,3.3); cout << z1 << endl; Complex z2(4.4,5.5);; cout << z2 << endl; Complex z3(0,0); z3 = z1 + z2; cout << z3 << endl;

}

Question

- What is the output of the previous program?
 - In particular, remember that we set the destructor to print a message.
 - How many times was the destructor called?

Output

2.2 +i3.3 Destructor!2.2+i3.3 4.4 + i5.5Destructor!4.4+i5.5 Destructor!0+i0 Destructor!6.6+i8.8 Destructor!4.4+i5.5 6.6 +i8.8 Destructor!6.6+i8.8 Destructor!6.6+i8.8 Destructor!4.4+i5.5 Destructor!2.2+i3.3

Avoiding overhead

- The reason that the destructor is called so many times is that temporary copies of Complex numbers are made when they are passed as parameters or returned.
- This is bad! (OK for such a simple class)
- One way to avoid: use more pointers
 - Need to change code a lot, harder to read
- Alternative: use references
 - References are pointers without the *

Complex with Refs

class Complex {

double a,b;

public:

Complex(double, double);

Complex();

~Complex();

Complex operator+(Complex&);

friend ostream& operator<<(ostream &, Complex&);</pre>

};

Improved?

- Previous program now only calls ~Complex 4 times
 - Good!
- Consider this piece of code:

z3 = z1 + z2;

- Will this work?
 - -z4 = z1 + z2 + z3;
 - z4 = z1 + (z2 + z3); //Is this the same??