Javascript Notes - Objects

E-Applications Spring 2015

M. Lampis

Objects in javascript

- Roughly: Objects are maps
 - Keys are strings (not necessarily valid identifiers)
 - Each key is mapped to a **property**
- What about methods?
 - Methods are simply properties whose value happens to be a function.

Object literals

Simplest way to initialize an object

```
var myobj = {
    key1: "value1",
    key2: 23,
    method1: function() { alert("Hello!"); },
}
```

• Note trailing comma (not necessary)

Accessing properties

 Properties can be read/written using the . operator

myobj.key2 // == 23

myobj.key1 = [1,2,3]; //OK, "value1" is deleted

myobj.method1(); //call the method

myobj.method1 = function() {alert("Bye");}; //OK!

Accessing Properties

 Properties can be written even if the key does not exist

myobj.key3 = "newkey"; //OK!

 Result -> Often we just start with empty object and then add properties at will, instead of using object literals.

Deleting Properties

A property can be deleted using delete

delete myobj.key1; //now myobj.key1==undefined

- Not the same as setting a property to undefined
- Use sparingly...
 - Low efficiency in most implementations

The [] operator

- Object properties can also be accessed using [] myobj["key1"] === myobj.key1; //TRUE!
- Why use this instead of . ?
 - Allows any string as key myobj["a key with spaces"] = 74; //OK
 - Allows computed keys
 myobj["key"+i] = 75; //myobj.key1? myobj.key2?...
 myobj["method"+1](); //what will this do?

this

- A special variable **this** is "always" defined
- Idea: when we call a method, this refers to the object to which the method belongs

var myobj = {key1: 23, method1: function() {
 alert(this.key1); }, };

 (In sloppy mode) this is defined also for normal functions. It is equal to the global object (window)

Setting this

• Consider the following example

var myobj = {key1: 23, method1: function() {
 alert(this.key1); }, };
var myfunc = myobj.method1; //is this OK?
myfunc(); //result?

Setting this

- The problem in the previous example is that "this" is undefined (or ==window) for myfunc
- Javascript offers some mechanisms to fix this
- call, apply and bind

Call

• The method call is applied to objects of type Function. Its syntax is:

<function>.call(thisvalue,arg1,arg2,...)

 Except for changing the value of this, this is the same as just calling the function

alert("Hi"); === alert.call(this,"Hi");

Apply

The apply method can be applied to function expressions

<function>.apply(thisvalue,[args]);

- Idea: in addition to setting this, breaks down array into parameters
- Ex: Math.max(1,2,3,2,1) == 3
 Math.max([1,2,3,2,1]) == NaN
 Math.max.apply(null,[1,2,3,2,1]) == 3

Bind

 Returns a function, which has already been supplied some arguments

Syntax: <function>.bind(thisvalue,arg1,arg2,...)

• Example:

function add(x,y) { return x+y; }

var plus2 = add.bind(null,2);

Losing "this"

- What's the deal with supplying "this" to these functions?
- "this" can easily get lost. Example:

```
var counter = {
```

```
count : 0,
inc: function () { this.count++; }
}
```

Losing "this"

- Why this.count++ and not count++?
- This works. What is the problem?
 var myfunc = counter.inc; //Valid?
 counter.inc(); //increases counter
 myfunc(); //should be the same, but isn't...

Finding "this"

- The previous is a common problem when giving a "callback function" to another function
- Solution: bind

var myfunc = counter.inc.bind(counter);

- Useful with (among others)
 - setInterval(func, time); //call func every time ms
 - setTimeout(func, time); //call func after time ms

Recap

Consider the following example: (source: http://www.sitepoint.com/) ulletvar name = "John"; var obj = $\{$ name: "Colin", prop: { name = "Aurelio", getName: function() { return this.name; } alert(obj.prop.getName()); var test = obj.prop.getName();

```
alert(test.getName());
```

Inheritance

- So far, objects are little more than maps
- We would like to emulate the OOP feature of inheritance
 - Make an object a "special case" of another, more general object, sharing the same methods/properties
- In javascript this is achieved with **prototypes**

Prototypes

- How do things work?
 - Each object has a "hidden" property, called [[Prototype]]
 - This cannot be manipulated directly!
 - The value of this property is another "parent" object
 - When we try to access a property/method that does not exist, the search automatically contains up the prototype chain.

Prototypes

```
var PersonProto = {
  describe: function () {
     return 'Person named '+this.name;
  }
};
var jane = {
  [[Prototype]]: PersonProto, //ILLEGAL syntax!
  name: 'Jane'
};
var tarzan = {
  [[Prototype]]: PersonProto, //ILLEGAL syntax!
```

```
name: 'Tarzan'
```

};

Prototypes



Creating child objects

Here is the valid syntax to set [[Prototype]]

```
var PersonProto = {
    describe: function () {
        return 'Person named '+this.name;
    }
};
var jane = Object.create(PersonProto);
jane.name = "Jane"; //Now we can add the non-inherited stuff...
```

Checking inheritance relations

 The Object.getPrototypeOf(myobj) function returns the prototype of an object

Object.getPrototypeOf(jane) === PersonProto

 The Object.isPrototypeOf(myobj) method checks if the target object (this) is the prototype of myobj

PersonProto.isPrototypeOf(jane) //true

Setting and deleting

Setting a property over-rides prototype properties

var proto = { method1: function () {alert("Hi");} };

var obj = Object.create(proto);

```
obj.method1(); //Result?
```

obj.method1() = function () {alert("Bye");};

```
obj.method1(); //Result?
```

```
proto.method1(); //Result?
```

Reference Semantics

Guess the result of the following

var x = 5; var y = x; x++; alert(y); // 5 or 6?

Reference Semantics

Guess the result of the following

```
var x = {val: 5};
var y = x;
x.val++;
alert(y.val); // 5 or 6?
```

Reference semantics

- All object variables are to be treated as references.
- The = operator does not create a new copy of the value (as it does for numbers)
- Same is true for Arrays (Arrays are Objects)

Reference Semantics

Guess the result of the following

var x = [5]; var y = x; x[0]++; alert(y[0]); // 5 or 6?

Getting around ref semantics

- Exercise: write some code that copies object a into object b
- Step 1: make sure new object has same prototype
- Step 2: copy over all local properties (recursively?)

One solution

- //copy a to b
- b = Object.reate(Object.getPrototype(a)); //Step1
- for(i in a) b.i = a.i; //Step 2
- //Naive for two reasons
- //1. Also iterates over inherited properties
- //2. = does not create a copy (is this what we want?)

Constructors

- A cleaner way to do OOP
 - Recall, so far we know how to use Object.create()
- In javascript any function can be used as a constructor for objects
 - Convention: functions meant as constructors start
 with capital letters
- Applying the **new** operator to a constructor creates an object, to which the constructor is applied immediately.

Constructors example

```
function Person(name){
  this.name = name;
var jane = new Person("Jane Doe");
//Now jane === { name: "Jane Doe" }
iane instanceof Person //true
jane.constructor === Person //true
```

Constructors and Prototypes

- Recall that all objects have a [[Prototype]] property
- All functions (also) have a prototype property
 - Confused?
 - Meaning: if the function f is used as a constructor (with new), f.prototype is the prototype used for the new object

Constructors and Prototypes

```
function Person(name){
  this.name = name;
Person.prototype.describe = function () {
  return "My name is "+this.name; }
var jane = new Person("Jane Doe");
jane.describe() //returns "My name is Jane Doe"
```

General idea

- Inside the prototype of the constructor we place methods that are to be shared by all the objects of this "class"
- Note that we are trying to simulate a behavior that happens automatically in Java, C++,...

More material

- Constructor Inheritance, Polymorphism...
- Data Protection

. . .

• Everything is public